

Exhibit M

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
3 ATLANTA DIVISION

4 ROADSYNC, INC.,)
5)
6)
7)
8)
9)
10)
11)
12)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)

10 -----
11)
12)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL L. BROWN
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
AUGUST 29, 2023

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography
and computer-aided transcript produced by

JANA B. COLTER, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRC
Official Court Reporter
1949 U.S. Courthouse
75 Ted Turner Drive, SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 215-1456

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Plaintiff: JONATHAN CHALLY
3 JOSHUA GUNNEMANN
4 KATHERINE D'AMBROSIO
Attorneys at Law

5 For the Defendants: RYAN LANDES
6 JULIA BLACKBURN STONE
7 Attorneys at Law

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Atlanta, Fulton County, Georgia, August 29, 2023, in open court.)

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE COURT: All right. We are here for another discovery dispute in *RoadSync v. Relay Payments*.

May I have appearances, starting with counsel for the employee.

10 MR. CHALLY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

11 I'm Jon Chally. With me is Josh Gunneman and
12 Kadie D'Ambrosio, and we represent the plaintiffs in this
13 case.

14 THE COURT: Great. And for the defendants?

15 MR. LANDES: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

16 Ryan Landes for the defendants from Quinn Emanuel.

MS. STONE: And Julia Stone from Caplan Cobb as well.

18 THE COURT: Are y'all lead counsel? Everybody here
19 is lead counsel?

20 MR. CHALLY: Yes, sir.

21 MR. LANDES: Yes, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Okay. I think from now on, unless I tell
23 you otherwise, the next time that -- if we have to have a
24 dispute, I'd like your clients here with you.

I cannot think of another case -- now. I haven't been

1 on the bench all that long. But I can't think of another case
2 that I have had that has been quite so difficult in the
3 discovery process, apart from I think anything to do with the
4 substance.

5 From purely having to do with lawyers wanting to
6 fight and obfuscate and be difficult. And I say that because
7 not only have I spent so much time on these things, but just
8 sitting last week, I was thinking about y'all last week when I
9 was having conferences in other cases, at the beginning of
10 cases. And I was trying to talk to them about discovery.

11 And I kept thinking about how I was going to make all
12 of the lawyers in those cases do better than y'all. But I
13 think it's an embarrassment to the practice of law how poorly
14 you-all have been able to get through the discovery process.

15 I'm not going to make any decisions based upon that.
16 But I would like clients here from now on. And I'm not going
17 to mince words in front of clients. I think clients are
18 paying more money and probably themselves being run around in
19 circles or fed to believe that something is going to succeed
20 that's not. But it is really, really amazing how poorly I
21 think y'all have performed in getting this case moving along.

22 It's a pretty simple case. I know lawyers want to
23 act like all of their cases are horribly complex and oh so
24 difficult. I don't think it is. This is a case that began
25 about customer lists and trade secrets. And we've had to have

1 so many things.

2 So unless you hear from me otherwise, if we have to
3 have any more of these, I want clients here. And I want
4 senior clients here. I want somebody who reviews bills and
5 gives instructions here to see what he or she has been paying
6 for. Okay?

7 Does everybody understand?

8 Does anybody want to make a comment about that?

9 MR. CHALLY: No, sir. Understood.

10 THE COURT: Understand?

11 MR. LANDES: Understood, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We are here on a
13 number of things. We are here, I think, to finish up on your
14 last discovery issue that was in the letters to me 156, and
15 then some additional briefing at 159 and 160.

16 The plaintiff asked me to compel the defendants to
17 produce post complaint and investor materials. We've already
18 talked about this. I'm going to grant that request for the
19 reasons I said in my July 19th teleconference with the
20 parties.

21 I think plaintiff could have been more diligent in
22 pursuing this timely. I've already said that I don't think
23 diligence has been a factor in the prosecution of this case
24 with prior counsel or current counsel.

25 But the record is not so clear or egregious enough to

1 say that the plaintiffs have waived their rights to discovery.
2 I've looked through and one of the reasons I'm so disappointed
3 in this is I've spent a lot of time looking at the
4 correspondence that you-all have given me.

5 And the correspondence, I think it's 159-3 is one of
6 the series of back and forths that were going on that I think
7 defendant said was the -- included the plaintiff's
8 acquiescence to not going to pursue those requests.

9 But it was very clear to me that in one of the
10 emails, I think it's at Page 2, the defendant said that they
11 were entitled to discovery of the post complaint documents and
12 the investor documents.

13 As I said, that stands in contrast to the defendant's
14 contention in one of them, but I've said enough on that. I'm
15 going to allow that discovery to go forward.

16 I don't think, contrary to what the defendant said,
17 that the plaintiff did acquiesce. I don't think there is
18 really even an argument that a Judge ought to have to go back
19 and re-read emails. I think it should never have been
20 represented to me that way.

21 Plaintiff also wants the defendant to produce
22 documents that hit on the party's search terms, even if
23 they're -- the documents don't concern one of the 16 priority
24 customers identified by the plaintiff. This has to do, I
25 think, with request 1, 3, 5, 10, 13, 19, 21, 24, 26 through 29

1 and 34. I think all of those have the same limitation.

2 First of all, I agree with plaintiff's contention
3 that I never limited discovery to those 16 customers. Maybe
4 in a bit of foreshadowing, the parties were unable to even
5 really begin substantive discovery because they were disputing
6 that.

7 So I think I said something about let's pick 16 of
8 the most important customers, just to get things moving. It's
9 hard for me to believe that anybody could interpret that as me
10 limiting discovery to just 16 customers.

11 Again, I'm not sure that's even a plausible argument
12 that somebody ought to make or that a Court ought to have to
13 come back and explain that it was not a limitation on the
14 outer bounds of discovery.

15 I also think that the defendant's use of the notion
16 that documents had to be responsive to those requests, and in
17 addition, hit on one of the customers, that's, I think, the
18 heart of the defense position.

19 Is that right?

20 MR. CHALLY: As I understand it, Your Honor, yes.

21 MR. LANDES: That's what we put in the meet and
22 confer after discussions and what we put in our responses,
23 limited certain responses.

24 THE COURT: Okay. It's hard to imagine that that is
25 a realistic limitation. It's hard for me to imagine. And

1 let's just look at a couple of them.

2 I have a lot of the documents opened on my computer
3 because you-all have cited so many things. It's going to take
4 me a minute to get there. Let me find it again.

5 I'm looking at the defendant's second amended
6 responses to the plaintiff's first set of requests for
7 production.

8 The request for production is all documents
9 concerning RoadSync's confidential and proprietary
10 information, including their trade secrets.

11 Why would you limit that to also including a
12 discussion of one of the 16 customers?

13 MR. LANDES: I don't -- I don't think we did,
14 Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Oh, well, it's just part of your
16 objection. Your objection is that you will produce it,
17 limited to the 16 entities.

18 MR. LANDES: Let me bring that up, because I think --
19 I'm almost certain it was broader than that. Because we
20 agreed to produce everything that was retained by the
21 defendants on that mentioned anything that was retained. And
22 in addition to that, because we didn't know at this point what
23 they were claiming as their confidential information --

24 THE COURT: But then why are we arguing about it? Is
25 this not part of the discovery dispute that we're here on?

1 Are you telling me that I've wasted my time looking at this,
2 because it's not part of the dispute.

3 Is it part of the dispute?

4 MR. CHALLY: We believe it is, Your Honor. If I --
5 the only assistance I can provide is I think that Mr. Landes
6 is trying to draw a distinction between documents and
7 information that mention RoadSync on the one hand and
8 documents and information that were retained by the
9 defendants.

10 And from our perspective, that distinction is one
11 without a difference. To fairly uncover what they may have
12 still accessed following their departure from RoadSync, we
13 then need to know -- mentioned -- received documents that
14 reference RoadSync.

15 And there is, as I understand it, a further
16 limitation that the defendants have imposed on those sorts of
17 documents, limiting to these 16 key customers.

18 THE COURT: I think that's how I read the response.

19 No?

20 MR. LANDES: So I do want to be clear that it's
21 broader than just materials that reference the 16 customers.
22 Because anything that was retained or that mentions anything
23 was redacted --

24 And, I'm sorry, Your Honor, would you prefer that I
25 stand?

1 THE COURT: No. No. You can sit down.

2 MR. LANDES: Anything that mentions or consists of
3 the material that was retained was produced. There are tens
4 of thousands, dozens of thousands of documents that were
5 produced from the hard drives, from emails and from other
6 sources.

7 But when we get beyond that, it is not clear what is
8 meant by RoadSync confidential information. It just is not
9 something that's clear to us.

10 And the notion that, well, if a document has the word
11 RoadSync in it, it therefore is RoadSync confidential
12 information, that just -- it's not true. And we've seen
13 examples of materials like that, like marketing, marketing
14 materials. Or an email from an individual defendant's medical
15 provider that goes to his personal email and his RoadSync
16 email.

17 And so it has the word RoadSync, but it is not
18 RoadSync confidential information.

19 THE COURT: So you're telling me that in response to
20 Number 1, which wanted all document concerning confidential
21 proprietary information, including their trade secrets --

22 MR. LANDES: Um-hmm.

23 THE COURT: -- that when you say subject to a series
24 of objections, including that you don't know what that
25 means --

1 MR. LANDES: Um-hmm.

2 THE COURT: -- what confidential means, that you'll
3 produce documents responsive to that request and limited to
4 the 16 entities identified in the letter.

5 That that's not really what you were doing?

6 MR. LANDES: We -- we produced -- I'm sorry. Yeah.
7 So here we go. This is -- if you -- if you continue to read
8 down that response, it says:

9 Defendants will also produce the files in Appendices
10 A through C of RoadSync's amended responses and objections to
11 defendant's interrogatories and any documents or
12 communications that reference these files.

13 So that -- that appendix that we were referencing
14 there, we asked RoadSync in an interrogatory that said what is
15 your confidential information and trade secrets.

16 And they provide this description. And so we'll say:
17 We'll produce any of those documents and anything that
18 mentions any of those documents.

19 But it's beyond that, we don't know what it is that
20 they're saying is RoadSync confidential information. And it's
21 not the case that any document that has the word RoadSync in
22 it is RoadSync confidential information.

23 I mean, Mr. Droege, who is one of the individuals --

24 THE COURT: I don't understand that. Now I'm looking
25 at your response.

1 MR. LANDES: Um-hmm.

2 THE COURT: To this discovery dispute at 153.

3 Defendants told RoadSync's former counsel, on
4 March 3rd, that production for certain RFPs would be limited
5 to the 16 priority customers.

6 MR. LANDES: Um-hmm.

7 THE COURT: And RoadSync has never identified or
8 requested discovery about any other particular customers.

9 I think that the RFP objections that you pose were
10 limiting the ones that I've already read to certain -- to
11 responsive and certain customers.

12 MR. LANDES: For -- for certain of them. Now, there
13 were some RFPs --

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. LANDES: -- that said -- I'm sorry. I don't mean
16 to interrupt.

17 THE COURT: No. Let me ask you this.

18 Number 3: All documents that Barkoff or Droege
19 created or obtained from RoadSync, including emails or
20 electronic-stored information that they copied from a RoadSync
21 network computer server or electronic storage. And you have a
22 series of objections. And then you say you'll produce
23 documents responsive to that limited to the 16 entities
24 identified subject to a series of limitations.

25 Are you telling me that you did not limit your

1 production to it also has to be relevant to those 16 entities?

2 MR. LANDES: Sorry. I'm just reviewing this now.

3 So I think -- so part of the objection here is that
4 it is duplicative. I can tell you any emails or documents
5 that they retained from RoadSync have been produced.

6 I think some of those are captured in 1 or 2, RFP 1
7 or 2. But we were not withholding there based on individual
8 customers.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's great. Then it
10 doesn't matter to you, and I don't know why we're fighting
11 over it.

12 Then do you agree that I should grant their
13 request -- that your response to Request 1, 3, 10, 13, 19, 21,
14 24, 26 through 29 and 34, that any response to that cannot be
15 limited by the notion that it ought to also relate or refer to
16 one of the 16 identified customers.

17 MR. LANDES: So let -- let me give an example, which
18 is RFP --

19 THE COURT: No, no. Do you agree? So I've asked you
20 about two specifics and I think --

21 MR. LANDES: Sure.

22 THE COURT: -- I think, although you haven't answered
23 very clearly, I think what you've told me in response to the
24 two requests that I've asked you is that you are not limiting
25 your responsiveness based upon whether or not the documents

1 also refer to or name the 16 customers; is that right?

2 MR. LANDES: Correct.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. LANDES: For the ones that we discussed, yes.

5 THE COURT: So for those, 1 and 3, I ought to grant
6 those. And I ought to say you didn't do it --

7 MR. LANDES: Well --

8 THE COURT: -- and therefore, why wouldn't I just
9 order apparently what you've already done, which is you have
10 objections to those requests that have not been brought to me
11 so far.

12 The only objection that you've made is your reference
13 to the language that I've quoted a couple of times. That your
14 responses will be limited to the 16 entities identified in
15 RoadSync's list.

16 I think you agree with me that I ought to sustain
17 their objection to that limitation; is that right?

18 MR. LANDES: Well, so I -- I want to make sure that
19 there is an important distinction here.

20 If we're removing the limitation for those RFPs as to
21 the 16 customers, I don't think it will have a significant
22 difference on what we will produce.

23 But what defendants are asking for -- or, I'm sorry,
24 what RoadSync is asking for is something different, which is
25 if it has this word in the document, it is therefore a

1 confidential or trade secret document that you have to turn
2 over.

3 And so there's an element here where there still
4 needs to be some form of responsiveness review. And it's not
5 just, well, if it has a word in it, like the word checkout,
6 you have to produce that document.

7 THE COURT: That's not the issue that's in front of
8 me.

9 MR. LANDES: Well, I think that is some of the relief
10 that RoadSync is seeking, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. If that's the case, I haven't
12 found that in the bowl of spaghetti that's been given to me.

13 MR. LANDES: Okay.

14 THE COURT: What I have found in there is that they
15 object to you having documents that are responsive to the
16 requests and not being produced because they do not refer or
17 relate to one of the 16 entities.

18 Is that one of your requests?

19 MR. CHALLY: Yes, Your Honor, it is.

20 THE COURT: Okay. And you agree that that ought not
21 be a limitation?

22 MR. LANDES: For certain RFPs, I don't think it was
23 the complete limitation.

24 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I have not -- I've gone
25 through two of them. And I am sustaining their objection to

1 those two.

2 Do we need to look at more?

3 MR. LANDES: Could we look at one more just to give
4 you an example of what our issue is?

5 THE COURT: Sure.

6 MR. LANDES: So let's go to RFP 34.

7 THE COURT: So does that mean you think I ought to
8 grant it as to all of the other ones? That's the last one at
9 issue.

10 MR. LANDES: I wasn't able to write down fast enough.
11 That was the last one on your list, so I just -- that's the
12 one I went to. But I think it's emblematic of certain others.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. LANDES: So the request here is: All documents
15 concerning revenue and profits relating to any Relay customers
16 who are current or former RoadSync customers.

17 Okay?

18 So I don't know who the current or former RoadSync
19 customers are.

20 THE COURT: You know 16 of them.

21 MR. LANDES: Well, Your Honor, even those 16 are not
22 customers. Those are just entities.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. LANDES: In fact, I think only a very small
25 number of those are customers.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LANDES: But regardless, that's a list that we
3 have. And for seven months, since Your Honor said why don't
4 you start with a list of 20 or 25, bite off part of the
5 elephant, Your Honor said, so we can get started. We have
6 been asking in meet and confer who else do you want on your
7 list for us to look for. And we never get an answer.

8 THE COURT: Did you get it by June 13th?

9 MR. LANDES: We -- I don't have an answer sitting --

10 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you get it by July 13?

11 MR. LANDES: I don't have an answer sitting here
12 today, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: So the only ones that they've given you
14 are the 16?

15 MR. LANDES: Yes. And not even --

16 THE COURT: Well, that's all we're dealing with,
17 isn't it.

18 MR. LANDES: Yes, but not even all of those 16 are
19 customers, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Well, my only point is you're picking one
21 in which the only way you can respond is to the ones that
22 they've identified. Okay.

23 MR. LANDES: But, Your Honor, so --

24 THE COURT: Sure.

25 MR. LANDES: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Please, I want this to be -- I want this
2 to be as informal as we can.

3 MR. LANDES: RFP 28, which was also on your list,
4 same issue. RFP 29, also on your list, same issue. We don't
5 know who these customers are. Relay has 80,000 customers,
6 Your Honor. 80,000.

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. LANDES: What are we supposed to do when they
9 say, well, you haven't been at this company for five years.
10 When you left, we had, I'll say, far fewer than 16 customers.
11 But we want you to go through your 80,000 customers and
12 produce all the documents related to those customers that have
13 to do with -- well, any of those who at some point were one of
14 our customers or were one of our perspective customers. We
15 don't know who we're supposed to be looking for.

16 So what we said in these responses was we'll take the
17 16 -- for seven months we've been saying if you have more,
18 tell us who they are and we can talk about them.

19 But that's the issue we face with a lot of these
20 RFPs.

21 THE COURT: Okay. So why should I let you, the
22 plaintiff, how can now you ask for anything more than the 16
23 customers?

24 MR. CHALLY: Simply put, Your Honor, because that's
25 not the scope of our requests. Those that we've looked at, I

1 agree. They do mention --

2 THE COURT: But how do they know who are actual -- or
3 former customers or are you traveling under the word
4 prospective?

5 MR. CHALLY: I think there are two things. First --
6 and I know you have this perspective, but these were our --
7 the founders of RoadSync.

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. CHALLY: And they were familiar with customers
10 and prospective customers at the time that they left RoadSync.

11 So it is not true to indicate that they had no
12 awareness of who those customers might be.

13 But I will acknowledge, freely, that those specific
14 requests that relate to customers specifically do have a
15 natural limitation. It is more complicated to identify
16 documents responsive to these requests than it is to identify
17 documents that are responsive to still other requests.

18 The question here, from our perspective, is more
19 prominently displayed if you look at Request For Production
20 Number 10.

21 Request For Production Number 10 seeks all
22 communications concerning RoadSync, RoadSync's trade secrets
23 or RoadSync's products and services.

24 And in response to that particular request, we have
25 this key customer limitation.

1 THE COURT: Which is, in my opinion, silly and kind
2 of indefensible.

3 And what do you say about that?

4 MR. LANDES: Which -- RFP 10, Your Honor?

5 THE COURT: 10.

6 MR. LANDES: So I'll say, again, all communications
7 concerning RoadSync, if Mr. Droege receives an email with --

8 THE COURT: No, it's --

9 MR. LANDES: -- with benefits information, medical
10 insurance information.

11 THE COURT: No, I -- okay. Okay.

12 MR. LANDES: Pay information.

13 THE COURT: Then it ought to be RoadSync's trade
14 secrets or product of.

15 MR. LANDES: That -- but that's what we agreed to
16 produce in response to 1 and 2, right?

17 THE COURT: I will tell you, I mean, I think two
18 lawyers who want to move this case forward could get in a room
19 and could read this one and could say, yes, what you're
20 entitled to is all communications regarding RoadSync's trade
21 secrets. And there may be RoadSync's products and services.
22 We could talk about that.

23 I don't know why that hasn't happened. I can't for
24 the life of me understand it. I can't. But now I'm sitting
25 here, maybe it becomes a little clearer. Because we have a

1 series of disputes and you're talking about the ones where
2 they're utterly -- with them pushing a limit beyond the 16 is
3 utterly indefensible.

4 And then I ask them a question about that one, and
5 the plaintiff then gives me ones where the defendant is
6 utterly indefensible. And you are so both utterly
7 indefensible on your own parts that you now can't resolve a
8 dispute.

9 So let's go through each one of them. Okay?

10 1 and 3, I'm removing all of the limitations.

11 I think I'm going to get the ones that are at issue,
12 if I'm reading the list right.

13 MR. LANDES: Well, what -- I think we'd spoken about
14 2 before. I do have, again, a concern about Number 3, and
15 this is --

16 THE COURT: I'm talking about 1.

17 MR. LANDES: Um-hmm.

18 THE COURT: I'm talking about 3.

19 MR. LANDES: Yeah.

20 THE COURT: I don't think 2 was on the list.

21 MR. LANDES: I'm sorry. Then I misunderstood.
22 Because I do have a concern with 3.

23 THE COURT: I'm not talking about 3. I don't think
24 it's on the list. I think --

25 MR. LANDES: I thought you had just said 3.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't think 2 is on the
2 list.

3 MR. LANDES: Oh, okay. Yeah.

4 THE COURT: You have a concern about 3?

5 MR. LANDES: I have a concern about 3. All documents
6 that Barkoff and Droege created at dot, dot, dot RoadSync.
7 They were at RoadSync for four years, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 MR. LANDES: Every single thing that they created in
10 that four-year period? All their personal emails? All their
11 side projects? This is -- these are the sorts of limitations
12 that we're imposing.

13 THE COURT: Listen to me. I'm not arguing about
14 those. Okay?

15 MR. LANDES: Okay.

16 THE COURT: The only objection that's been raised is
17 an objection as to whether you can limit responsiveness based
18 upon a list of the 16 customers, that referenced the 16
19 customers. I want to be clear about that.

20 MR. LANDES: I see.

21 THE COURT: If you have other objections that
22 everything that they ever created when they were there for
23 years is not relevant, so be it.

24 MR. LANDES: Okay.

25 THE COURT: I am simply saying that amongst the

1 reasons to withhold something cannot be whether or not it
2 includes or refers or relates to one of the 16 customers.

3 Do you understand?

4 MR. LANDES: Yes. I appreciate that clarification,
5 Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All communications concerning RoadSync,
7 their trade secrets or their products and services.

8 MR. LANDES: Which -- I'm sorry, which one was that?

9 THE COURT: Number 10.

10 MR. LANDES: 10, okay.

11 THE COURT: I think, I think two earnest lawyers
12 could resolve this one. It ought not be limited. But the
13 only thing in front of me, the only thing in front of me on
14 this that was brought to me even arguably within time is
15 whether the limitation by referring to one of the 13 customers
16 or 16 customers is a legitimate basis for holding. It is not
17 for this one.

18 This one, Number 13, same thing. This one should not
19 be limited in production by having it also relate to one of
20 the 16 customers.

21 MR. LANDES: So for 13, Your Honor, I'm sorry, but
22 I'm going to need some clarification here.

23 Because otherwise, this -- I mean, these are five
24 people who know each other personally. I don't know what I'm
25 supposed to be looking for other than just handing over their

1 cellphone.

2 THE COURT: I think -- well, have you raised other
3 arguments? All I'm doing is striking your reference to -- you
4 have to produce responsive to this documents, but not the part
5 and limited to the 16 entities.

6 MR. LANDES: So we objected on a number of grounds,
7 right?

8 THE COURT: Right. But then you agree to do
9 something.

10 MR. LANDES: So, right. What we agreed to, because
11 this was the best that we could come up with, was to the
12 extent these people were talking about the 16 customers that
13 you've said are relevant, we'll produce those.

14 But otherwise, again, this is five people. They're
15 asking for every single communication between these five
16 people who were friends and coworkers for a year-long period,
17 every single thing. Lunch plans.

18 MR. CHALLY: Your Honor, if I may.

19 MR. LANDES: Their kids at school.

20 MR. CHALLY: I don't believe that's accurate. The
21 request, for sure, is broad, but it's also true that the
22 parties negotiated search terms. And the search terms are
23 intended to focus the search.

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 MR. CHALLY: What we are then asking is that they

1 produce the documents that then hit those search terms.

2 THE COURT: And that's all I'm -- all I'm doing is
3 saying the basis for withholding cannot be that it has to also
4 relate to one of the 16 entities.

5 MR. LANDES: But does it even have to relate to
6 RoadSync, Your Honor?

7 THE COURT: I don't know the answer to that. All I'm
8 saying is it does not have to relate to the 16 entities
9 identified in the list.

10 I don't know how close or well done your search terms
11 are. But if you negotiated search terms intended to target
12 Number 13, which is not a very broad one.

13 I mean, is it really broad?

14 MR. LANDES: Yes, Your Honor. It's every single
15 communication between five people --

16 THE COURT: In a year.

17 MR. LANDES: -- for a year.

18 THE COURT: In a year.

19 MR. LANDES: Every single -- these are coworkers and
20 friends, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. LANDES: Every single communication in any form
23 between any of five people in a year. That's a lot,
24 Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. CHALLY: In a year in which they all --

2 THE COURT: Hold on.

3 MR. CHALLY: Sorry.

4 THE COURT: And did you-all have search terms that
5 were intended to find relevant documents to this?

6 MR. LANDES: Yes, Your Honor. And it was limited to
7 the 16 customers.

8 THE COURT: What were the other search terms?

9 MR. LANDES: There were other search terms we had.
10 RoadSync was a search term. Right? There were other search
11 terms.

12 THE COURT: Perfect.

13 MR. LANDES: But, Your Honor, to the extent that they
14 were responsive on other issues, they were already produced.

15 THE COURT: Well, hold on. Then it doesn't matter.

16 MR. LANDES: Well, again, Your Honor --

17 THE COURT: If you're telling me -- you're asking me
18 does it also have to relate to RoadSync. And you have it as a
19 search term.

20 MR. LANDES: But again, Your Honor, there was a pivot
21 here. Which was if it hits on a search term, it has to be
22 produced.

23 One of the search terms was checkout, right?

24 Checkout. Which is used ubiquitously in every single -- every
25 single commerce system in the world, right?

1 And so any email --

2 THE COURT: You mean like I was at the checkout in
3 the grocery store.

4 MR. LANDES: Sure.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MR. LANDES: Or Amazon.

7 THE COURT: I think you're crossing into the absurd.
8 Isn't checkout one of the systems at issue in this case?

9 MR. CHALLY: Yes, for both RoadSync and Relay.

10 THE COURT: Okay. So I suspect, I suspect that if
11 these people in the one-year period were talking about
12 checkout, I don't know, but I suspect there were very few at
13 like, hey, I was thinking about this in the checkout line at
14 Publix. I doubt that.

15 MR. LANDES: No. I'm telling, you, Your Honor --
16 Your Honor, the overwhelming majority, the term that is the
17 biggest problem term is checkout.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. LANDES: Which we agreed to run as part of a
20 compromise. But when we look through these, there are,
21 indeed, Your Honor, tens of thousands, maybe hundreds of
22 thousands of emails, automated emails that just have the word
23 checkout in some footer.

24 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you-all can decide whether
25 those need to be produced. But for this one, you have search

1 terms. It's limited to five people in one year with search
2 terms. So it's not going to get everything they talk about.

3 My only ruling is to then require that they're
4 talking about one of the 16 customers is unreasonable, given
5 the allegations in this complaint.

6 This is a theft of trade secrets case. It's not from
7 the very start, we were focused on these 16 customers. That's
8 not what they allege.

9 The 16 customers was a limitation on discovery that
10 was put in place in a moment by you-all to get moving.

11 To then say that it has to be now a limit on
12 everything else is, in my opinion, unreasonable. If you
13 didn't negotiate good search terms on the front end, I'm
14 sorry.

15 MR. LANDES: Well --

16 THE COURT: You-all can talk more about that.

17 MR. LANDES: So, I'm sorry --

18 THE COURT: I read through the discovery or the items
19 that were filed at 160 -- no, attached to 160. It was 160-9,
20 160-10, 161-1, 161-2. All of this had a series of back and
21 forths about this issue.

22 I think that this limitation to the 16 customers was
23 an interim position posed by the defendant to a number of
24 requests, some of which were backed off.

25 If you look at I think it's -- it's either 161 at 7,

1 161-7 or 161-2 at 5. The defendant, you-all were objecting to
2 a number of other ones, 4, 5 and 30. But they were not
3 asserted later. And I read the defendant's response there in
4 the communications back and forth.

5 Because I do believe that the discovery responses are
6 pretty clear. Although the way you're now describing it to me
7 makes me think I'm adding clarity that doesn't exist.

8 But at any rate, in the internal back and forth
9 between the parties, I thought that the defendant's
10 explanation of what they would and would not produce was, to
11 say the least, confusing and, frankly, very hard to
12 understand.

13 There was a lot of we'll produce this with this and
14 that, but not this and not that, particularly in response to
15 very straightforward discovery responses.

16 I think because of that, it would be very unfair to
17 hold plaintiff to this, and it must relate or refer to one of
18 the 16 customers as an additional limitation on all of their
19 discovery responses or this series.

20 I do think that the plaintiff should have raised this
21 sooner, probably when they first got the written discovery
22 responses. I think that's at 161-3.

23 But plaintiff did raise it, albeit on the last day of
24 written discovery, I think is the record; isn't that right?

25 MR. CHALLY: I think, Your Honor, there was -- to be

1 clear, the responses were what they were served in March. And
2 we did not -- our predecessor counsel did not raise that with
3 you pursuant to the Court's procedures. There were,
4 nevertheless, discussions beginning in March through May as to
5 the search terms.

6 THE COURT: It didn't come to me until the last day,
7 is my question. It's a simple question.

8 MR. CHALLY: I'm sorry.

9 THE COURT: I think the answer to my simple question
10 is it was brought to me the last day. I think the answer is
11 yes.

12 MR. CHALLY: Sorry.

13 THE COURT: Is that right?

14 MR. CHALLY: Correct, yes.

15 THE COURT: Okay. So they got it in right under the
16 wire. So I'll continue to go through these.

17 But I can't help if the other search terms are not
18 very good. But to add this additional one is what I'm saying
19 is too much.

20 MR. LANDES: So you -- Your Honor, just -- just to
21 put a little bit of context here. I mean, this was all part
22 of a six-month long discussion -- not six months -- four-month
23 long discussion that we were having about discovery responses,
24 search terms, the scope of review, the scope of production,
25 and everything was negotiated holistically where the parties

1 made tradeoffs and compromises and horse trades that say,
2 well, we'll have a broader search term, but that's in exchange
3 for limiting what we're going to produce in response to --

4 THE COURT: So are you suggesting to me that -- are
5 you suggesting to me that counsel -- that the defendant agreed
6 to this knowing this would be the limitation?

7 I don't want you to show me emails on this.

8 You're suggesting to me that prior counsel agreed to
9 something that this lawyer's not agreeing to?

10 MR. LANDES: Absolutely agreed to these search terms
11 with our RFP responses in hand.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Then let's have a hearing on that.
13 And if a lawyer for them comes and says that that was agreed
14 to -- because I'm not letting them change positions. If it
15 was an agreement that was in place, and you want to call --
16 who was the lawyer?

17 MR. CHALLY: We submitted a declaration from --

18 THE COURT: Was it Ben Fox?

19 MR. CHALLY: It was Kyle Mooney. We submitted a
20 declaration from Kyle Mooney.

21 THE COURT: He agreed to it?

22 MR. CHALLY: No, sir.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to call him as a
24 witness? If you want to call him, I'll have a hearing on it.

25 MR. LANDES: Your Honor, I might be getting a little

1 confused about what we're talking about, but the search terms
2 were absolutely agreed to, absolutely agreed to. And they
3 were agreed to when they had our RFP responses in hand.

4 And the discussions about what the search terms would
5 be were in the context of these discussions about what our
6 responses were. So did they reserve the right to come and
7 challenge something later, I guess potentially.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. LANDES: But we -- I -- we didn't hear about it
10 until the very end, after we had negotiated search terms.

11 And if we had known that different issues were in
12 play, we would have negotiated different search terms, right?
13 This is -- this is work that was done five, six months ago.

14 THE COURT: I'm giving you your choice. If you think
15 that prior counsel agreed to something, and said when if
16 you-all run search terms and produce it, if it leads -- if it
17 also involves one of these 16 customers, I'll hold the
18 plaintiff to that position.

19 And if what you want to do is you want to have a
20 hearing and you want to confront him with evidence and you
21 want to ask him those questions, I'll judge credibility.

22 MR. LANDES: So, Your Honor --

23 THE COURT: I mean, that's fine with me. But I'm
24 sitting here and I'm not able to do that without that.

25 MR. LANDES: So here's -- here's what I'm able to

1 say, Your Honor. And I -- I don't want to unnecessarily
2 extend proceedings. Our goal is to bring this to a close. On
3 February 2nd, we sent a letter with the 16 customers
4 distinction. We never received a response.

5 On March 3rd, we reiterated that. We never received
6 a response.

7 On March 23rd, we served amended RFP responses with
8 these limitations. Never received a response.

9 In the shadow of all of this, we are negotiating
10 search terms that have the 16 customers in them, limiting
11 certain search terms, as requiring a hit on the 16 customers.

12 Those I can say and I don't -- I don't think
13 Mr. Mooney denies this. And if he does, I would like to
14 cross-examine him. We absolutely agreed on those search
15 terms. That I can say without a -- without a shadow of a
16 doubt.

17 THE COURT: They're not trying to expand search
18 terms.

19 MR. LANDES: Well, they are. They say that -- okay.
20 Well, if they're not, they're not.

21 THE COURT: We're not adding more than 16 customers.
22 It's too late for that.

23 MR. LANDES: Okay. But the -- the -- then -- so all
24 of those negotiations happened. We -- we have silence from
25 RoadSync on this limitation that we are not hiding. It's in

1 every letter we send that gets ignored. It's in written
2 responses that get ignored. We have meet and confers that
3 Your Honor orders, where you order the parties to resolve
4 discovery disputes. It's never brought up.

5 And then like Your Honor said, the first time it
6 comes before Your Honor is the very last day of discovery,
7 when depositions are supposed to be finished. When we've
8 already done our entire document review.

9 That's when this issue comes up. That's -- that's a
10 problem for us. Right? Because it -- it is rewarding the
11 plaintiff for their own negligence in addressing this issue.
12 They're -- it almost feels like some sort of estoppel issue.

13 THE COURT: Sure. Well, if you want to do that, I'll
14 do that, too.

15 But you're suggesting that it was -- well, I thought
16 at first you were suggesting that he agreed to it.

17 Now I think you're suggesting that he didn't
18 necessarily agree to it, but he, by silence acquiesced to it.

19 MR. LANDES: I can't say what was in his head,
20 Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Well, if you want to have him here as a
22 witness, I'm happy to listen to it.

23 MR. LANDES: I'm -- I'm --

24 THE COURT: If your client is prejudiced by having
25 agreed, for example, to these search terms because we're also

1 going to have this limitation, then I will listen to that.

2 But otherwise, I think adding this additional request
3 or condition of the 16 customers for some of these is a bridge
4 too far. But I'll give it to you.

5 Do you think you can put on evidence that will show,
6 that will make me conclude that they acquiesced to something
7 that has hurt your client? Not hurt your client in terms of
8 they've got to produce something they don't want to produce,
9 but that your client would not have agreed to search terms if
10 this additional thing had not been agreed to?

11 MR. LANDES: That's -- and it's hard -- I don't know
12 that Mr. Mooney would have that in his head. And it would be
13 hard to go back and retrace, as Your Honor has seen, it's
14 difficult to retrace five months of meet and confer emails.

15 But I can tell you from being on these calls that
16 this was center stage, this issue. This was not something
17 that we were sneaking in through the backdoor. This was
18 absolutely -- you can see, it's in the responses.

19 Your Honor is -- is seeing them. And it was because
20 we felt that these RFPs were overbroad and they were unclear.

21 And so we said, okay, here's what we'll do. We'll
22 produce to the extent they relate to the 16. And if you have
23 others, tell us who they are. By all means, we want to know
24 what this case is about.

25 And so that is what -- that was the -- that is what

1 we were discussing throughout this entire process.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to rule on the
3 fact that the plaintiff brought it, albeit it on the last day
4 to me. And I don't have evidence that there was any reliance,
5 detrimental reliance, on it by the defense.

6 So I'm going to, again, for Number 10, I'm going to
7 strike the requirement or grant the motion by the plaintiff
8 that this response cannot be limited by the requirement that
9 it also identify the 16 entities.

10 MR. LANDES: Understood.

11 THE COURT: 13. The same thing for 13.

12 MR. LANDES: So 13, Your Honor, again, this is one
13 where it -- this one I can say is a detrimental reliance
14 issue. Right?

15 Unequivocally, on our part, because what we said was
16 the compromise here was we'll do the 16 customers. That will
17 be our limitation instead of producing every single email
18 between these people that might hit on any random search term.

19 THE COURT: And you think that they acquiesced to
20 that?

21 MR. LANDES: Your Honor, we didn't hear about it
22 until the last day. We would have given a different response
23 to this RFP otherwise. We would have said, okay, we won't --
24 we won't do the 16 customers. We'll do RoadSync's products
25 and services, but not an undefined set of customers. We would

1 have done something different here.

2 MR. CHALLY: Your Honor, I --

3 MR. LANDES: Or we would have said about their exit
4 from RoadSync or about their creation from Relay, right? We
5 would have said something different here.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. LANDES: But the 16 customers is what we thought
8 was a reasonable compromise. And then we never hear anything
9 about it.

10 THE COURT: Yes. I don't think you can put that much
11 on silence, and so I'm not going to do that, either.

12 19, all documents concerning the solicitation of any
13 investment in or funding for Relay including communications
14 and documents shared with potential investors or funders.

15 Anything anybody wants to say about that?

16 I'm assuming there were search terms that hit on
17 that?

18 MR. LANDES: I don't know if they're limiting this to
19 search terms.

20 MR. CHALLY: Of course we are. We --

21 THE COURT: I thought all of this was limited to
22 search terms.

23 MR. CHALLY: It is.

24 THE COURT: I'm going to have the same ruling on 19.

25 MR. LANDES: So I just want to be clear, Your Honor.

1 We'll look for this, but if -- but if a document was shared
2 with an investor that doesn't hit on a search term, that's not
3 something that we have to go out and find and produce?

4 THE COURT: I think y'all have agreed on that, right?

5 MR. CHALLY: That's correct.

6 MR. LANDES: Okay. That's good. That's different
7 from what we were hearing in the meet and confer.

8 THE COURT: Same on 21.

9 MR. LANDES: And so, I mean, again, Your Honor, this
10 is -- this is where I -- where I have a challenge, right?
11 Because the word -- the word checkout is ubiquitous,
12 ubiquitous. It is not a trade secret term. And it is used
13 frequently without any relation to RoadSync, any relation,
14 whatsoever.

15 THE COURT: Again, that's fine. I just don't think
16 limiting it to the 16 is a legitimate limitation.

17 MR. LANDES: But here's -- again, this is -- this is
18 another place, Your Honor, where we -- we only
19 included -- this was one of the -- and Mrs. Stone can testify
20 to this. The last term that we agreed to include, the one
21 that was hardest fought was checkout. That was the hardest
22 fought term. Because it was returning enormous volumes of
23 false positives.

24 THE COURT: So if I think that former counsel will
25 say that they insisted on checkout, but gave way, but as a

1 concession agreed to the customer list, then I'll hear that.

2 MR. LANDES: But, Your Honor, it didn't even come up
3 for them, right? It didn't even come up -- we didn't -- it
4 had been on the table for four months. And we said, okay.
5 And they -- for four months, they had had this 16-customer
6 limitation. And they never said, well, if you include -- you
7 need to include RoadSync and also, by the way, we agree to
8 this thing that you put on the table and we never mentioned
9 for four months. Did they say that? No. That's not in an
10 email, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I don't think for four months they said
12 if you -- if you think he'll say for four months, we knew all
13 the production would be limited to something that also
14 discusses these customers, I'll listen to it.

15 MR. LANDES: Your Honor, it's in the
16 February 2nd letter.

17 THE COURT: All right. Let me see it.

18 MR. LANDES: It's at Docket 164-1. We go request by
19 request to say here are the 16-customer limitations.
20 February 2nd. And that was -- that was memorializing meet and
21 confers that we had in December and January.

22 THE COURT: 164-1?

23 MR. LANDES: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Which page do you want me to look at?

25 MR. LANDES: Page --

1 THE COURT: This is who to who?

2 MR. LANDES: This is from Quinn Emanuel to former
3 counsel.

4 THE COURT: Right. And you're saying they didn't
5 respond?

6 MR. LANDES: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I was
7 looking at the wrong -- the page I had was wrong.

8 Am I looking at the wrong item? Sorry.

9 I might have been looking at my notes and they are
10 wrong.

11 THE COURT: Yes. But where's their response?

12 MR. LANDES: There was no response. No response.
13 We -- we asked -- are you going to respond to this letter?
14 Never received a response.

15 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to continue with this
16 same --

17 MR. LANDES: Oh, yes. Here we go. Page 7. It
18 begins at Page 7 of 164-1. We lay out the 16-customer
19 limitation and then we go through, we're all -- on the
20 following pages request by request saying we're going to limit
21 it as described above.

22 And here's the requests that we're going to do that
23 for. Never received a response to this letter.

24 And we say it again in our March 3rd letter. And
25 never received a response to that.

1 And then we say it again in our March 23rd letter.
2 Never receive a response to that.

3 THE COURT: Well, you see, this is the one I was
4 referring to. I reviewed this letter. So this one, you're
5 referring to 164-1 at Page 6 of the PDF, 6 of the letter?

6 MR. LANDES: I think it begins -- no. Well, that's
7 where the discussion sort of starts. But yeah, the actual
8 limitation is on Page 7 of the letter and 6 of the PDF.

9 THE COURT: Right. I've mentioned this before as
10 sort of the interim position. Because this is not your
11 ultimate position. Because you don't ultimately interpose
12 this objection for 2, Request 2, or request -- I think there's
13 one other request that's not included down the road. And then
14 you do impose it for 21 and 19.

15 MR. LANDES: So --

16 THE COURT: So this is what I was referring to later
17 when I said it was an interim.

18 And in addition, what you have blacked out here is --
19 I mean, frankly, it's hard for me to understand what all is
20 being said here.

21 This does not move the needle on my position, and so
22 I'm going to keep the same position for 24.

23 MR. LANDES: I'm sorry. Let me go back to -- woops.
24 Sorry. We also have a lot of windows open, Your Honor. I'm
25 trying to go to 24. Oh, okay. Um-hmm.

1 THE COURT: I'm not going to do it for 26. I'm going
2 to leave 26 as is.

3 27. 27, it doesn't matter, because my understanding
4 is they've only identified 16 actual prospective or former
5 customers.

6 28, same thing.

7 29, same thing.

8 And 34, I think we've already discussed. Same thing.

9 Okay. Does everybody understand?

10 MR. LANDES: Yes, Your Honor, understood.

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to expand beyond the
12 list of 16. That was not raised timely. And I'm not going to
13 do that.

14 That is certainly something that -- maybe I shouldn't
15 say it wasn't raised timely. It wasn't raised completely and
16 timely. It still hasn't been. There's the 16 customers.
17 There's been no attempt to do more than that, that I can see.

18 MR. CHALLY: We're not trying to expand the 16 key
19 customers. We're just trying to remove that limitation.

20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Good.

21 All right. The next one to discuss is 169, which is
22 also 170 docket entry. That's the most, one of the most
23 recent.

24 But the most recent is 176, which is the 176-1 is the
25 filed unredacted version of your most recent one. And this is

1 a bigger issue, I think.

2 And here is where, in 176, the defendant asks for the
3 opportunity to file a pleading to strike a portion of the
4 defendant's -- I'm sorry, the plaintiff's identification of
5 trade secrets.

6 And I'm trying to figure out, it seems like these are
7 somewhat related. And so I'd like to talk about the best way
8 to address them. But give me a second. I want to try to
9 close some of these windows that I have up here.

10 Okay. All right. There's in 169, one of the issues
11 is about Request 22, which seeks a complete version of Relay
12 Payment's source code. The defendant says that they have
13 produced all of the previously identified source code.

14 Is that right?

15 MR. LANDES: So any -- just to describe what
16 happened. We took their trade secret ID, which is this
17 document that has a number of categories and a number of
18 specifics.

19 For anything -- any category that's on that list, we
20 found the corresponding source code in Relay's system and
21 produced all of it, all versions of it.

22 So take, for example, there's a category in
23 RoadSync's trade secret ID called invoicing, another one
24 called user management that relates to user names and
25 passwords. We went into Relay's system and took all of the

1 source code related to those things and all the other
2 categories and produced all of it and all historical versions
3 of it and all system logs related to it. That's all been
4 available for two months.

5 THE COURT: Okay. So if they've done that, why
6 should you get all of their source code?

7 MR. CHALLY: Two reasons, Your Honor.

8 First, I don't know what they didn't give us.

9 THE COURT: But isn't that always the case in
10 discovery. You ask them for documents, you ask them for
11 communications. They give you what they have. But you're not
12 allowed to have everything else to compare, right?

13 MR. CHALLY: I agree.

14 THE COURT: Okay. So the fact that you don't know
15 what they haven't given you is not a basis to get their code.

16 MR. CHALLY: The code is unique. The code is unique
17 because, again, the contentions in this case are that the
18 people who were responsible for developing this business,
19 ultimately the people who were responsible for developing this
20 system then took all of that information and knowledge and
21 went to Relay and did the same thing there.

22 THE COURT: No. It's not that they took the code.
23 It's that they took the source code and used it.

24 MR. CHALLY: I think to be -- and I don't -- I
25 understand your point, Your Honor. And the very last thing

1 that I want to do is argue with you on those details. I do
2 believe, though, that the complaint is broader than just the
3 source code.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. CHALLY: And that's something that's addressed in
6 this discovery dispute statement and in others.

7 THE COURT: All right. So that's my question, is I
8 want to see where the requests that you have got, you've got a
9 dispute in what's been filed as 169 as to Request 22.

10 MR. CHALLY: Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: Request 93 and --

12 MR. CHALLY: 94.

13 THE COURT: -- 94.

14 And I want to figure out where resolution of that
15 turns on the bigger issue that I think has now come up in 176,
16 which is whether or not the plaintiff's claim is limited to
17 source code in addition to customer list.

18 MR. CHALLY: Understood. From my perspective, first
19 focus on Request 22. Request 22 is for their source code.
20 The contention that I asserted to you, that we believe -- even
21 if you want to limit that contention to the source code, the
22 idea here is that the very same person who wrote the RoadSync
23 source code is now employed by Relay and he's responsible for
24 writing the Relay source code.

25 And so we simply want the opportunity to review that

1 system. Not -- of course not for our client to review that
2 system. Solely for our expert to be able to have access to
3 the full set of the source code.

4 I appreciate some of the information that Mr. Landes
5 has described to us in the last few days, only the last few
6 days, but I appreciate the information he's described to us
7 then as to how they had their expert go about trying to
8 identify the source code that they gave to us.

9 I'm happy, particularly given the Court's comments at
10 the outset of this hearing, I'm happy to have our expert
11 review what they can produce in light of what they told us, to
12 then understand better what it is their expert did. And then
13 engage in good faith discussion as to whether our expert
14 requires something more.

15 But what I can't be in the situation of having
16 been -- of them producing what they have selected, selected in
17 circumstances that I don't fully appreciate how they went
18 about selecting it and I have no ability to ask for something
19 further.

20 To me, the simplest way to do this is we -- our
21 expert is reviewing their source code. We will have an
22 opportunity to depose their expert, who I understood was
23 responsible for deciding what portions of the Relay source
24 code they wanted to give to us and what they didn't want to
25 give to us.

1 Let that process then answer this question. Let that
2 process determine whether there are components of their code
3 that either our expert needs or their -- or were based on the
4 deposition of their expert that we believe we're entitled to.

5 I think that's simplest. Now, I believe we're
6 entitled to the entirety of the code. And I believe that
7 we're entitled to the entirety of the code because of the
8 sweeping nature of our claims.

9 And frankly, the support that exists for those
10 claims, that these are the same people who wrote the system at
11 both places. But I'm happy to streamline this process to get
12 through, because we -- we hear you.

13 THE COURT: Is there something wrong with a guy if he
14 wrote it at one place going to another place and writing it
15 there? A man or woman doing that, rewriting it from scratch,
16 not taking it with them?

17 MR. CHALLY: If he --

18 THE COURT: But just having in his or her mind what
19 to do and, you know, the person did it once, the person can do
20 it again. Is that wrong?

21 MR. CHALLY: Absolutely. We believe it could be
22 wrong under these circumstances. Circumstances that include
23 obligations that any creation that this particular individual
24 made while he was at RoadSync, were RoadSync's. That was not
25 his information to take. That was RoadSync's information to

1 take.

2 And further, we believe that is, in fact,
3 confidential. That it is, in fact, a trade secret. This
4 isn't just I'm figuring out how to walk down the street. It
5 is -- it is a very bespoke product that other than RoadSync
6 and Relay doesn't exist much in the market.

7 And that's our contention. So we're simply trying to
8 uncover that. And of course they can raise this position that
9 they don't believe that these particular components of the
10 code are confidential or -- that's all fine.

11 We're simply trying to understand what we have, what
12 the facts are. And we need to know what their system does
13 through the code. We also need to know what their systems
14 does through the design specifications, whether this -- this
15 is where we're bleeding then into 93 and 94.

16 THE COURT: Which you think -- okay. All right. I
17 don't think you are entitled to a complete version of their
18 source code.

19 MR. CHALLY: Okay.

20 THE COURT: But if you think going through the
21 interim steps that you're trying to go to will get you to
22 where you can make a more focused request, that's fine.

23 MR. CHALLY: Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. LANDES: Your Honor, can I -- can I respond

1 briefly?

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 MR. LANDES: And here's my concern, which is that
4 this is just more needless delay of the process. And let me
5 explain. RFP 22, that's a low number. That was in the very
6 first set of RFPs. And we said before I cited you to 164-1,
7 the February 2nd letter, we said at 164-1, at Page 11, we are
8 not producing all of our code.

9 Tell us what parts are relevant in a trade secret ID,
10 and we will produce the corresponding code. We said that on
11 February 2nd.

12 Your Honor might recall there was quite a bit of
13 briefing and discussion. I was here in front of Your Honor on
14 January 11th, and we were talking about this, understanding
15 what's at issue so we could produce it.

16 Your Honor issued an order on May 19th saying that
17 their trade secret ID wasn't good enough. They needed a new
18 one.

19 We said give us the new trade secret ID, and as soon
20 as you do, we'll produce our corresponding code. It took five
21 weeks to get a trade secret ID.

22 June 23rd is when we finally got it. It was still
23 deficient, they served amendments even recently, as soon as --
24 as soon as they provided us that ID, we made the code
25 available. Now, I think this piece right now, Your Honor, is

1 critical.

2 By late June, late June, we had that code available
3 for their review, all of the code that corresponded to their
4 trade secret ID, all prior versions, all logs.

5 It is now the end of August, two months later. The
6 total amount of time that their expert has spent looking at
7 the code that we produced -- are you ready, Your Honor? 31
8 minutes. 31 minutes in two months. Looking at all of the
9 code we produced.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. LANDES: So he's talking about a process -- well,
12 let's go into expert discovery. Let's take more time. Let's
13 figure this out. Let's serve a supplemental request.
14 Your Honor, this --

15 THE COURT: I'm not.

16 MR. LANDES: Yeah.

17 THE COURT: I'm not.

18 MR. LANDES: Okay. But --

19 THE COURT: I'm not talking about more time.
20 Everything we're doing is going to depend, in the first
21 instance, about what happened before the close of discovery.
22 Discovery is going to continue on. I've already said that.

23 But the decisions that were made and the things that
24 were asserted before July 13th, when I think discovery was
25 supposed to close, is going to be a guide in this.

1 I think what I have ruled on already could have gone
2 the other way.

3 I think that the plaintiff, particularly in regards
4 to the objection for the limitation of the 16 customers, I
5 think it was raised on the very last day. I don't think
6 that's consistent with discovery closing on that day.

7 I think when you have something as early as they had
8 it, which I think was March, when they had the RFP responses,
9 I think a lawyer ought to respond and raise issues more
10 expeditiously.

11 But I'm using my discretion because of the things
12 I've already said, that I don't think the defendant's
13 responses were very clear. And because of my knowledge of how
14 discovery has gone and the difficulty that it's been under in
15 this case, I'm using my discretion to allow that to continue.

16 That should not be confused with the notion that I'm
17 going to throw out the idea that we all have to do things on a
18 certain timeline.

19 And so when he comes -- what he's telling me right
20 now is he's not ripe to tell me what he thinks he's ready to
21 do.

22 And when he comes back to it, the first thing you
23 ought to say is whether he ever raised this issue prior to
24 June 15th.

25 MR. LANDES: Very good, Your Honor. I will -- I will

1 hold that.

2 And if I could make one other point for the record
3 that I think is important, that relates back to 176, a comment
4 on what Mr. Chally said, which was he said -- and I thought
5 this was quite remarkable. He said the reason we need to look
6 at the code is because we know the same person, who is not
7 even a defendant in this case, by the way, worked on the code
8 at RoadSync and he worked on it on Relay.

9 What you did not hear and which is contrary to the
10 allegations in the complaint, what you did not hear was we
11 need to do this because we know they took the code with them.
12 And I think there's discovery --

13 THE COURT: I understand.

14 MR. LANDES: Discovery, I think, Your Honor, has
15 fairly conclusively disproven that.

16 And so now we are in a situation, as I predicted, I
17 can say you and I both predicted, Your Honor, that once they
18 found that the code hadn't been taken, the -- they would try
19 to change the case at the last minute.

20 And that was -- that's exactly what we discussed on
21 January 11th. I'm looking at the transcript starting at
22 Page 51.

23 This is Your Honor speaking.

24 You said: I think it's great you've said your source
25 code. But if you don't find their source code, if you don't

1 find the source code in their system, meaning if plaintiff
2 does not find the source code in defendant's system, then
3 you're out of luck.

4 But what they, defendants, are afraid you're going to
5 do is you're going to pivot and say, oh, even though the
6 source code is not the same, what it is, is the way it's been
7 arranged, or you might have modified it in this way to make it
8 look different. But really, you've got this similar
9 functionality.

10 And then you go and you say:

11 Recognizing the defendant's argument, what the
12 defendants are saying is it would be fundamentally unfair for
13 them not to know what to target during discovery. If you can
14 just come in later and identify something different.

15 And that's exactly where we are right now,
16 Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: And where are they doing that?

18 MR. LANDES: Where -- they are doing that by saying,
19 well, Your Honor --

20 THE COURT: In which filing?

21 MR. LANDES: What do you mean, which filing?

22 THE COURT: Where are they doing -- they're fighting
23 about Request Number 22 is not doing that.

24 MR. LANDES: Oh, well, yeah, it's part of the
25 arguments we've heard about 22. It's certainly in Requests 93

1 and 94. And it's in their trade secret identification that
2 you brought up that we're looking to strike at 176.

3 THE COURT: I think you're right about that. I
4 think, I think -- can I see a copy of the first trade secret
5 identification? I've got --

6 MR. CHALLY: I don't have a physical copy of it.

7 THE COURT: Okay. I've got here, if y'all look at
8 176, it says on the top of Page 3, fact discovery, plaintiff
9 claimed only code is a trade secret not features and
10 functions. Plaintiff stated as much in opposing the motions
11 to dismiss and the Court accepted that argument.

12 Likewise, until August, plaintiff's interrogatory
13 responses identified only code, not features and functions.

14 Only on June 23rd, after the deadline to serve
15 discovery requests did plaintiff claim in its trade secrets
16 features and functions in addition to the source code.

17 What's been cited, though, is the August 1st filing.
18 And that's the second supplemental.

19 Can I assume, then, that the first supplemental, on
20 June 23rd, included that information?

21 MR. CHALLY: It did.

22 MR. LANDES: Yes.

23 THE COURT: And so is that the language -- I'm
24 looking at 176-2, which is the second amended supplemental
25 identification. It has on Page 3 a statement:

1 In addition to the features and functions of the
2 system, the compiled source code is an independent trade
3 secret. Was that added in the first supplemental? Is that
4 where that gets added in?

5 MR. CHALLY: In the June 23, yes.

6 MR. LANDES: June 23rd.

7 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, what argument is there
8 that functions and features are part of it and not source
9 code? I mean, isn't it pretty clear that this is a source
10 code, at least since the motion to dismiss stage?

11 Isn't that true?

12 MR. CHALLY: Your Honor, no, I don't think that this
13 case is exclusively limited to source occurred.

14 THE COURT: Have you read my order on the motion to
15 dismiss?

16 MR. CHALLY: Yes, sir. And I believe in that order,
17 you indicate that you are ordering -- suggesting that we
18 should reference the trade secret as source code and related
19 software. And that's what we understand that to mean.

20 Now, I further would say that in the discussion that
21 Mr. Landes is referring to from January of this year, in which
22 there was a discussion of the trade secret identification, I
23 think you said there that -- Page 58 of that transcript, I
24 think you said that we should identify the source code files
25 and the functionality, which is what we then did.

1 THE COURT: Well, that's because -- hold on. I have
2 to look at that than in context.

3 But I went back and I looked at your response in
4 opposition to the motion to dismiss.

5 Do you have that in front of you or the ability to
6 get it? It's Document 33.

7 MR. CHALLY: Yes, sir, I can pull it up.

8 THE COURT: I mean, you say, you identify the trade
9 secrets. And you say at Page 17, the complaint identified
10 specific categories of information in these downloads that
11 constitute trade secrets. Customer list is Number One.
12 Prospective customer list is Number Two. Financial analysis
13 is Number Three. Product roadmap, work flows and confidential
14 user guides is Number Four. Source code is Number Five.

15 I don't see how the complaint specifically identifies
16 as a trade secret the source code for RoadSync's proprietary
17 checkout software, which was saved on Droege's company-issued
18 laptop.

19 It does not rely on mere customer-facing software.
20 And that's the plaintiff's -- the defendant's argument was
21 that that's not a secret. But on theft of source code.

22 So how today, or in June, do you -- and I said that
23 in mine, in my order. I say the plaintiff does not claim
24 outward facing features are trade secrets. It claims the
25 source code underlying those features is protected. The

1 distinction between source code and the visible output of a
2 program is well established.

3 Defense never identified any information in
4 plaintiff's source code as opposed to plaintiff's outward
5 facing software that is even arguably public.

6 It seems to me as though I'm not leaving much room in
7 my order for anything beyond source code.

8 MR. CHALLY: From our perspective, Your Honor, you
9 left -- made very clear that outward facing functionality was
10 not something you thought could be a trade secret in this
11 case.

12 We're not claiming that outward facing functionality
13 is what we are claiming to be a trade secret. That's not what
14 we are attempting to identify in our trade secret disclosure.

15 What we are identifying in our trade secret
16 disclosure is that the source code is the way in which a
17 system does certain things. And what we are contending is
18 that, of course, that source code can be a trade secret.

19 But also, the way in which that system does it, even
20 if the language of the code is slightly different from one to
21 the other, can nevertheless be a trade secret.

22 We understand we have a burden on that. We
23 acknowledge a burden to prove confidentiality, that we have
24 reasonable -- employed reasonable measures to maintain the
25 confidentiality of those things, those features and functions,

1 and we, through discovery, will be prepared to meet that
2 burden.

3 But that can be a trade secret. There are cases
4 suggesting as much. Numerous cases that I could cite to you.
5 The law is --

6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not suggesting you're wrong.
7 But where is that here? Where is that here, other than
8 something that was filed in June for the first time?

9 MR. CHALLY: The complaint identifies --

10 THE COURT: No, no. The complaint, I ruled on the
11 complaint and I said it was source code. Because you-all said
12 it was source code. I mean, I'm not limiting your complaint.
13 I'm just limiting the complaint to what you said in opposition
14 to a motion for summary judgment.

15 Has that argument been put out or discussed before
16 June 23rd?

17 MR. CHALLY: We think it has, Your Honor. We think
18 it has.

19 THE COURT: Where?

20 MR. CHALLY: In the complaint, where we identify that
21 it's not only just the source code, but it's the software. We
22 think it's identified in the briefing on the motion to
23 dismiss, where we -- where you describe that it's not outward
24 facing features. And we readily acknowledge that we're not
25 talking about outward facing features.

1 We think it's further described in the hearing where
2 we were required to provide a trade secret identification.
3 And then we think it's described in the trade secret
4 identification that we provided in June.

5 THE COURT: Not in your first one.

6 Okay. So here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to
7 let you file your motion.

8 MR. LANDES: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: And I'm going to let them respond. And
10 here's what the response has to say. The response has to have
11 a representation from former counsel that they always thought
12 this was part of the case.

13 MR. CHALLY: Fair enough.

14 THE COURT: Okay?

15 And then I'm going to have a hearing with former
16 counsel to testify that they always thought that this was part
17 of the case. And that the reason they didn't put it, why they
18 didn't put it in the first trade secret identification.

19 And why -- when I said in my order that it is limited
20 to source code, why they didn't file a motion for
21 reconsideration.

22 Because I don't think it's possible to read your
23 pleading in opposition to summary judgment and my ruling on it
24 as giving much room for anything beyond source code.

25 And so I would like to have a -- I would like to have

1 a declaration from I suppose Mr. Fox. I think he was the one
2 who was here most of the time, wasn't he?

3 MR. LANDES: Mr. Fox and Mr. Mooney.

4 THE COURT: From them, I guess from both of them, as
5 to why they did not file a motion for reconsideration, even
6 though they knew or believed I was wrong to limit it to source
7 code.

8 Why it was not identified in the initial disclosure
9 of the trade secret information.

10 When, if ever, they talked with opposing counsel
11 about this theory.

12 Okay?

13 MR. CHALLY: Did -- of course. Just one thing, so
14 that we're clear on the record, we would -- we will -- we will
15 provide that information.

16 I want to be clear, though, that doing so won't waive
17 any work product or attorney/client privilege.

18 THE COURT: No. They're arguing that new counsel has
19 come in and you're looking to change.

20 That's what you're arguing, right?

21 MR. LANDES: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. LANDES: Although I -- and I predicted it in
24 January. I think old counsel would have done the same thing.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I'm not saying that new

1 counsel can't change or that old counsel couldn't have tried
2 to do this. We can argue about that as well.

3 But I think we ought to be straightforward on the
4 facts. It's possible that this is what prior counsel was
5 always going to do and they just sat quietly when this was
6 brought up by counsel for the defendant, maybe with a little
7 bit of a knowing look at each other or something like that.
8 It's possible. And they can say that.

9 If this is a decision that new counsel has made,
10 let's just treat it as that.

11 But what I don't want is somebody suggesting that
12 there was room in what we'd all been believing before, unless
13 there really was, because I think that's hard to believe.

14 MR. LANDES: And, Your Honor, I mean, I don't want to
15 preview our motion too much, but I think another data point
16 here, one is interrogatory responses.

17 THE COURT: I agree with you.

18 MR. LANDES: But I think another data point --

19 THE COURT: And they have -- you're going to put all
20 of these data points in your motion.

21 MR. LANDES: We'll put them all in.

22 THE COURT: And they're going to have to address
23 them. And they're going to have to address them factually and
24 legally. Their argument may be none of that matters. We said
25 it on June 23rd, and we're entitled to do it. And then I'll

1 rule on that.

2 Or it may be, Judge, we always wanted this, we always
3 expected this, and here's why it took us until June 23rd. And
4 I'll rule on that.

5 MR. LANDES: And, Your Honor, just to bring it full
6 circle, back to RFP 93 and 94, think those are illustrative.
7 Because those are the ones that they're not asking for source
8 code. They're asking for things like well, just give us the
9 design of your system, people talking about how to design it.

10 THE COURT: I read the deposition excerpts about coda
11 and linear.

12 MR. LANDES: Right. Absolutely. Absolutely,
13 Your Honor. But I think what's notable there -- and they also
14 asked for, you know, just give us access to your software so
15 that we can play around with it.

16 Those requests were levied on June 13th, which was
17 the last day they were allowed to serve written discovery. It
18 was also ten days before they served their trade secret ID,
19 right?

20 So if this case -- and this is, again, a preview, but
21 if Mr. Mooney or Mr. Fox is going to come in and say, oh,
22 features and functionality are always part of the case, that's
23 always something we wanted, why did we not get discovery --
24 discovery about that until the very end?

25 THE COURT: So when he testifies --

1 MR. LANDES: That's a good question.

2 THE COURT: -- about this, those are great questions
3 to ask.

4 MR. LANDES: Yeah.

5 THE COURT: And they may very well bear on a
6 credibility determination I have to make.

7 MR. LANDES: Understood, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Because I think, I think what I will rule
9 is that it is either a change in tactic at the last second or
10 not a change in tactic at the last second. And then I will
11 rule whether that matters. It might not matter. I haven't
12 looked at -- you can brief me on whether it matters.

13 MR. LANDES: We think it does, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Perhaps, you can get to the end of
15 discovery, and through discovery learn things that make you
16 want to add more. Okay? And we can address that. That's not
17 unusual, if that's the case.

18 But we ought to at least have an understanding of how
19 we got there. Because you allege it's a change of tactic.
20 And that might or might not make a difference. I don't know.

21 But you're allowed to explore that, I think.

22 Does anybody think he shouldn't be allowed to explore
23 that?

24 MR. CHALLY: No.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. CHALLY: But, Your Honor, I want to make one
2 thing very clear, particularly taking the comments that you
3 made to us at the outset of this hearing. We absolutely did
4 come onto this case with fresh eyes. We did.

5 THE COURT: I understand.

6 MR. CHALLY: And now, at the same time, I believe
7 that prior discovery requests, in addition to 93 and 94, put
8 at issue some of the same things that we're seeking in this
9 particular -- we're seeking to be compelled and that we
10 believe is appropriate.

11 But I want to be upfront and clear with you. I don't
12 believe that prior counsel is going to testify that they had
13 no concept that features and functions would be at issue in
14 this case. But we absolutely did. And we thought it
15 important for us to issue follow-up requests in June that we
16 thought could be duplicative of earlier requests, but
17 nevertheless, would precisely and clearly indicate exactly
18 what we want. And we thought it important when we were
19 amending the trade secret disclosure to be as clear as we
20 could be as to what it is that we were after.

21 THE COURT: Fine. And lawyers are allowed to do
22 that. Lawyers are allowed to get -- even within one law firm
23 are allowed to get smarter. They're allowed to bring other
24 people in. The other lawyers could have brought somebody in
25 and said, hey, man, we shouldn't give this up. Let's argue

1 for this. That's fine.

2 That's why I'm saying whether or not it's a new
3 thought or has always been part of a thought is maybe not
4 dispositive. Maybe it is. Maybe it is. Maybe I can say that
5 there are things that so changed the scope of a case that in
6 the light of the road everybody has been traveling on with
7 eyes wide open, that the last second change is too much.
8 Maybe I can say that.

9 And maybe I don't need a declaration from them.
10 Maybe the simple fact that will be represented to me is that
11 this issue arose when new counsel became involved. That's no
12 different than Mr. Fox getting a brilliant idea at the last
13 minute.

14 And then the question just becomes whether or not the
15 time matters. I think it might. I think it might.

16 MR. LANDES: So absolutely, Your Honor. And we'll --
17 we'll confer with counsel to see what position they want to
18 take. Because I don't want to be ships passing in the night.
19 Because if they will stipulate that this was a brilliant new
20 idea that they had in late June to change the theory of the
21 case, then we'll -- we'll focus on that issue, because we
22 absolutely were prejudiced.

23 THE COURT: That's my point. My point is --

24 MR. LANDES: We'll -- we'll get to the bottom of
25 that.

1 THE COURT: So if you want to have a hearing, if
2 that's going to be a factual dispute, and you want to have a
3 hearing ahead of that and brief it afterwards, we can do it.
4 But I don't think -- see when you talk to each other --

5 MR. LANDES: Um-hmm.

6 THE COURT: -- whether or not prior counsel had this
7 idea, and for some reason, sat on it. Or whether this is a
8 new idea that ought to be judged as a new idea. And then you
9 can brief that. If you need to -- if you want to have a
10 hearing to resolve the factual issue, we can do that first or
11 after.

12 You can make your allegations. If they don't give
13 you comfort, you can make your allegations and we can go from
14 there. Okay?

15 MR. LANDES: We'll see what we can get a stipulation
16 on to narrow the issues.

17 THE COURT: It may, like I keep saying, whether it's
18 a last-second change may or may not matter. But I think it's
19 relevant.

20 MR. LANDES: So I'll say, Your Honor --

21 THE COURT: So I want to know it, because I think
22 it's relevant.

23 MR. LANDES: Just as one keystone, the revelation of
24 functions and features happened ten days after our deadline to
25 serve written discovery.

1 THE COURT: Of course. That's why it might make a
2 difference.

3 MR. LANDES: I think it makes a difference,
4 Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough. But my point is it's
6 definitely relevant. And it might be dispositive. Okay.

7 MR. LANDES: Understood.

8 THE COURT: Anything else?

9 So I'm going to hold the other two, I'm going to hold
10 175 -- I'm going to hold 175 until -- I'm going to grant that
11 letting you file your motion.

12 MR. LANDES: Thank you.

13 THE COURT: 169 and 170, I'm going to hold in
14 abeyance, because plaintiff would like time to consider what
15 they need to do for Request 22.

16 And the other two at issue in that one, the other two
17 requests are directly relevant to your motion to strike.

18 MR. LANDES: So just so I'm clear, Your Honor, I
19 understand why 93 and 94 are relevant to the motion to strike.

20 22, it's not clear to me what -- what is to happen
21 from here.

22 THE COURT: I think he's going to reconsider what he
23 needs to do.

24 MR. CHALLY: Yes, sir.

25 THE COURT: Plaintiff's counsel is going to

1 reconsider talking with his expert, whether he needs to do
2 anything on that.

3 MR. LANDES: Okay.

4 MR. CHALLY: Yeah. We have no objection to that. We
5 are simply just asking for the opportunity to do it.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. LANDES: Understood. And when he files something
8 new, I can say that this new filing is untimely?

9 THE COURT: Yes. Yes.

10 MR. LANDES: Right. I understand. Thank you. I
11 just wanted to make sure that I had that straight.

12 THE COURT: Otherwise, the discovery that I have
13 allowed to proceed, I'd like that done in 45 days.

14 Is there any reason to think it can't be done in that
15 time?

16 MR. LANDES: I -- Your Honor, I'd like it done much
17 more quickly.

18 THE COURT: 30 days. You're the producer.

19 MR. LANDES: Yeah. Well, we will produce this as
20 fast as we possibly can.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. LANDES: And then we would like to also have a
23 deadline at that point for the close of fact discovery.

24 THE COURT: I think --

25 MR. LANDES: A true, true close.

1 THE COURT: I think the close of fact discovery, I
2 think the only thing left to be considered in discovery is
3 what's already been filed. Those items that you have to --
4 that I've ordered you to produce and the outcome of the ones
5 I've just read, 22.

6 MR. LANDES: 93 and 94.

7 THE COURT: 93 and 94.

8 Does anybody think there is any avenue for more
9 discovery on that, fact discovery?

10 MR. CHALLY: No.

11 THE COURT: No?

12 MR. CHALLY: Well, there were -- well, to be clear,
13 there are three witnesses that we need to depose. We -- we
14 postponed those depositions because of these disputes as to
15 documents.

16 That is Barkoff, Droege and then completion of the
17 Relay 30(b) (6). We're prepared to do that as quickly as
18 possible, following production of this information. That's
19 it.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. CHALLY: 30, 45 days is more than fine.

22 THE COURT: Are those the dates you want to set that?
23 Is there any reason to think there is any additional discovery
24 other than that to be done?

25 MR. CHALLY: We have no intention of doing any

1 further discovery.

2 MR. LANDES: No.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. LANDES: I mean, if the entire theory of the case
5 changes, then we might want -- right?

6 THE COURT: Right.

7 MR. LANDES: But setting that aside --

8 THE COURT: Right.

9 MR. LANDES: We will produce these documents as
10 quickly as possible. I think we have, you know, dates for
11 depositions, although we don't think it was proper for them to
12 withdraw those notices, but if Your Honor is suggesting they
13 should go forward, we'll have them go forward.

14 THE COURT: I think you ought to do all of this in 45
15 days.

16 MR. LANDES: We will do it -- we'd like to do it in
17 30 days, probably less.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Fine. 30 days. Any reason that
19 can't be done?

20 MR. CHALLY: We just simply want to know how many
21 documents we're going to get. That's all.

22 THE COURT: Depositions 20 days after documents are
23 produced. So when you produce the documents, they have 20
24 days to take the deposition.

25 MR. LANDES: Got it.

1 THE COURT: So I'm going to give you ten days to
2 produce the documents.

3 MR. LANDES: There you go. Thank you, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: And then the discovery for everything
5 that's on the table, other than 22, 93 and 94 will close at
6 the conclusion of those depositions.

7 Okay? So we have to then resolve 22, 93 and 94, and
8 the motion to strike.

9 And at that time, when that is done, we'll decide
10 whether there's more discovery that's needed for the defense,
11 because of this functionality issue, and/or if not, we'll move
12 to expert discovery.

13 MR. LANDES: And so, Your Honor, I just do want to
14 make a couple of points about discovery that we need. We
15 still don't have a privilege log. We believe that there are
16 thousands of documents that were improperly clawed back for
17 privilege. We actually had a motion we were ready to file.
18 We were waiting for --

19 THE COURT: Well, the privilege log ought to be
20 produced right now.

21 Is there any reason it's not being produced?

22 MR. CHALLY: The reason it hasn't been produced is
23 the number of back ends that existed in the log and our
24 attempt to address and follow up requests that they made.

25 THE COURT: Ten days.

1 MR. CHALLY: We totally agree --

2 THE COURT: That gives you 20 days to file
3 objections. Okay?

4 MR. LANDES: Understood, Your Honor. And if there
5 are other materials, I'll try -- if it's a ten-day deadline
6 for them to produce other things that they agreed to produce
7 prior to the close of discovery, that -- I think that works
8 for us.

9 THE COURT: That's fine, too. Ten days, all the
10 documents that are due and/or privileged logs ought to be
11 produced back and forth.

12 And then you have 20 days to take the final three
13 depositions.

14 MR. CHALLY: Your Honor, I --

15 MR. LANDES: Understood.

16 MR. CHALLY: I hate to say this.

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MR. CHALLY: There are -- I think there are some -- I
19 would like to work with defendant's counsel as to what they
20 believe has not been produced. I don't believe that there are
21 significant materials that have not been produced, certainly
22 not that we have previously agreed to produce.

23 I have no problem completing -- exhausting those
24 discussions in the next ten days.

25 THE COURT: No, no. You have like a day to do that.

1 MR. CHALLY: Oh, understood.

2 THE COURT: Because you have to produce it in ten
3 days.

4 MR. CHALLY: Understood. Ultimately then producing
5 that material. Fine. But we don't want counsel's suggestion
6 that we had committed to do this to signify that we don't
7 believe that we either had or that we may not have appropriate
8 objections to it.

9 We'll work that through with them and hopefully not
10 present this to the --

11 THE COURT: Any objection, shouldn't they already
12 have been raised.

13 MR. CHALLY: And we believe they have. That's the
14 point. We believe they have. These are issues that have been
15 discussed before. These are some issues where we did reach
16 some accommodation. And we believe we did do what we
17 accommodated. In other words, what we agreed to do.

18 We can explore whether there was more or we can
19 explore whether our understanding of that agreement is somehow
20 differently and hopefully resolve all of their issues. I'm
21 simply reserving that.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. CHALLY: We'll have that discussion.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. LANDES: And just one more housekeeping matter,

1 Your Honor, timing on our motion to strike?

2 THE COURT: Well, talk with them about what the
3 factual basis is agreed to, or if it's not agreed, how long do
4 you want?

5 MR. LANDES: I don't -- whatever to accommodate your
6 schedule, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Two weeks.

8 MR. LANDES: Should be sufficient.

9 THE COURT: Okay. If you need more time, let me
10 know.

11 But to be clear, I don't need a lot of fluff and
12 extra argument. I'd like facts. And I think the facts are
13 like, hey, the complaint said this, and we attacked it in the
14 motion to dismiss.

15 And the Judge said this. And this is their reply.
16 And the Judge said this. And here's any other reason to think
17 this was a part of it. This discovery response, that
18 discovery response. This statement. You said that I said
19 something about functionality.

20 I think saying source code has functionality is not
21 the same as saying source code divorced from functionality
22 means functionality is the key. But at any rate, I think it's
23 like that.

24 And then I think the next step is whether that
25 matters. Whether or not they can -- if it's never been

1 uttered before, is it unfair to allow them to adopt that now
2 at 11:30 at night, or whenever it was.

3 MR. LANDES: Yes, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Okay? But I don't need a lot of --
5 sometimes you-all write things and it's like, well, I'm glad
6 you agreed to limit your response in this way, because it was
7 previously so outrageous and da-da-da-da-da. You've agreed to
8 do it. Okay?

9 I just want the facts. I don't want to read the
10 creationist or the commentary on the outrageousness of the
11 others. Okay?

12 MR. LANDES: Understood.

13 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else?

14 MR. CHALLY: No, sir. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

16
17 (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned at 3:41
18 p.m.)

19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 REPORTERS CERTIFICATE
2
34 I, Jana B. Colter, Official Court Reporter for the
5 United States District Court for the Northern District of
6 Georgia, with offices at Atlanta, do hereby certify:7 That I reported on the Stenograph machine the
8 proceedings held in open court on August 29, 2023, in the
9 matter of *RoadSync, Inc. v. Relay Payments, Inc.*, Case Number
10 1:21-CV-03420-MLB; that said proceedings in connection with
11 the hearing were reduced to typewritten form by me; and that
12 the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the
13 proceedings.

14 This the 31st day of August, 2023.

15
16
17
18 /s/ Jana B. Colter, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRC
19 Official Court Reporter
20
21
22
23
24
25

Case 1:21-cv-03420-MLB Document 185-14 Filed 09/12/23 Page 78 of 87	
MR. CHALLY: [71] 3/103/205/97/209/4 15/1918/2419/519/9 24/1824/2024/2526/1 26/327/929/2530/8 30/1230/1431/1731/19 31/2237/237/2037/23 38/542/1844/744/13 44/1644/2445/545/10 45/1245/1847/1747/21 48/1948/2354/654/21 55/555/1255/1656/7 57/858/958/1758/20 59/1360/1363/2464/1 64/667/2468/469/10 69/1269/2169/2570/20 71/2272/172/1472/16 72/1873/173/473/13 73/2375/14 MR. LANDES: [172] MS. STONE: [1] 3/17 THE COURT: [229]	42/1642/1851/4 16-customer [3] 39/5 39/1940/18 160 [3] 5/1528/1928/19 160-10 [1] 28/20 160-9 [1] 28/19 161 [1] 28/25 161-1 [1] 28/20 161-2 [2] 28/2029/1 161-3 [1] 29/22 161-7 [1] 29/1 164-1 [6] 39/1839/22 40/1841/549/649/7 169 [4] 42/2143/1045/9 67/13 17 [1] 56/9 170 [2] 42/2267/13 175 [2] 67/1067/10 176 [6] 42/2443/245/15 52/354/254/8 176-1 [1] 42/24 176-2 [1] 54/24 19 [5] 6/2513/1337/12 37/2441/14 1949 [1] 1/19 19th [2] 5/1949/16 1:21-CV-03420-MLB [2] 1/576/10 1st [1] 54/17
2	3rd [2] 12/433/5 4 404 [1] 1/21 45 [3] 68/1369/2170/14 5 51 [1] 52/22 58 [1] 55/23 7 75 [1] 1/20 8 80,000 [3] 18/518/6 18/11 9 93 [10] 45/1148/15 53/2562/664/767/19 69/669/771/571/7 94 [11] 45/1245/13 48/1554/162/664/7 67/1969/669/771/571/7
A	ACTION [1] 1/4 actual [3] 19/24/1/742/4 actually [1] 7/1/17 add [2] 30/1863/16 added [2] 55/355/4 adding [3] 29/733/21 35/2 addition [7] 7/178/22 41/1845/1754/1655/1 64/7 additional [6] 5/15 29/1830/1835/235/10 69/23 address [5] 43/861/22 61/2363/1671/24 addressed [1] 45/5 addressing [1] 34/11 adjourned [1] 75/17 adopt [1] 75/1 afraid [1] 53/4 after [7] 7/2232/10 54/1464/2066/1166/24 70/22 afternoon [2] 3/103/15 afterwards [1] 66/3 again [17] 7/118/420/6 21/1424/1426/1626/20 36/636/1238/938/15 38/1740/2441/144/17 47/2062/20 ago [1] 32/13 agree [12] 7/213/12 13/1914/1615/2019/1 24/834/1839/744/13 61/1772/1 agreed [28] 8/2020/15 24/1027/1931/53/18 31/1031/1331/2132/2 32/232/332/1533/14 34/1634/2535/935/10 38/438/2039/172/6 72/2273/1774/374/3 75/675/7 agreeing [1] 31/9 agreement [2] 31/15 73/19 ahead [1] 66/3 aided [1] 1/17 AL [1] 1/6 albeit [2] 29/2336/3 all [82] allegations [4] 28/5 52/1066/1266/13 allege [2] 28/863/19 allow [3] 6/1551/15 75/1 allowed [9] 44/1262/17 63/2163/2264/2164/22 64/2364/2368/13 almost [2] 8/1934/12 along [1] 4/21 already [12] 5/175/22 12/1014/926/1434/8 42/850/2251/151/12 69/373/11 also [25] 6/217/158/11 11/913/113/1514/118/3 18/423/1924/2125/3 act [1] 4/23
3	30 [6] 29/268/1869/17 69/2170/1770/18 30303 [1] 1/20 31 [2] 50/750/8 31st [1] 76/14 33 [1] 56/6 34 [4] 7/113/1416/6 42/8 3:41 [1] 75/17

as... [52] 18/218/2 22/1726/1827/1929/18 30/431/2333/1134/21 35/1338/2540/2141/9 42/245/945/946/746/13 49/1949/2049/2349/23 49/2449/2451/751/7 52/1654/1055/1856/16 57/457/658/459/2460/4 61/261/1064/1964/19 64/2066/866/2368/19 68/2069/1469/1769/17 70/970/1072/1974/21 aside [1] 70/7 ask [8] 12/1718/2221/4 32/2144/1044/1046/18 63/3 asked [6] 5/1611/14 13/1913/2440/1362/14 asking [9] 14/2314/24 17/624/1524/2526/17 62/762/868/5 asks [1] 43/2 asserted [3] 29/345/20 50/24 assistance [1] 9/5 assume [1] 54/19 assuming [1] 37/16 ATLANTA [4] 1/21/20 3/176/6 attached [1] 28/19 attacked [1] 74/13 attempt [2] 42/1771/24 attempting [1] 57/14 attorney [1] 60/17 attorney/client [1] 60/17 Attorneys [2] 2/32/5 AUGUST [7] 1/123/1 50/554/1254/1776/8 76/14 August 1st [1] 54/17 automated [1] 27/22 available [3] 44/449/25 50/2 avenue [1] 69/8 awareness [1] 19/12	27/2523/1129/1629/25 31/631/2532/534/7 35/1236/836/1737/25 39/1344/2446/246/15 47/2150/2551/1653/12 57/1057/1357/1857/21 58/158/360/1661/3 61/2462/263/2264/9 64/1164/1364/1664/19 64/2065/1065/1866/2 66/867/668/1469/2 69/1269/2470/1971/19 72/1074/874/11 bear [1] 63/5 became [1] 65/11 because [49] 4/67/58/3 8/188/198/229/29/22 15/1618/2420/2521/22 23/2324/1029/529/16 31/1434/1034/2535/19 36/1538/1138/2241/10 41/1142/344/1747/7 47/1251/1151/1352/6 52/1156/158/1159/22 61/1362/763/863/19 65/1865/1965/2166/21 67/1469/1471/1173/2 75/6 becomes [2] 20/25 65/14 been [37] 3/254/24/14 5/55/215/236/1913/5 14/1015/1217/618/9 18/1722/1635/1039/4 40/942/1642/1744/3 45/946/1651/1452/18 53/654/1758/1561/12 65/365/669/371/22 72/2072/2173/1273/14 74/25 before [12] 1/1121/14 34/641/949/650/21 50/2458/1561/1262/18 73/1575/1 began [1] 4/24 begin [1] 7/5 beginning [2] 4/930/4 begins [2] 40/1841/6 being [5] 4/1815/16 35/1541/2071/21 believe [25] 4/197/99/4 24/2029/545/245/20 47/447/547/647/2148/2 48/955/1661/1364/6 64/1064/1271/1572/20 72/2073/773/1373/14 73/16 believed [1] 60/6 believing [1] 61/12 Ben [1] 31/18 bench [1] 4/1 benefits [1] 20/9 bespoke [1] 48/5 best [2] 24/1143/7 better [2] 4/1246/12 between [7] 9/624/15 25/1525/2329/936/18 57/1	beyond [6] 10/711/19 21/242/1157/759/24 bigger [2] 43/145/15 biggest [1] 27/17 bills [1] 5/4 bit [4] 7/430/2149/12 61/7 bite [1] 17/4 BLACKBURN [1] 2/5 blacked [1] 41/18 bleeding [1] 48/15 both [5] 21/627/947/11 52/1760/4 bottom [1] 65/24 bounds [1] 7/14 bowl [1] 15/12 bridge [1] 35/3 brief [3] 63/1266/366/9 briefing [3] 5/1549/13 58/22 briefly [1] 49/1 brilliant [2] 65/1265/19 bring [4] 8/1833/262/5 64/23 broad [3] 24/2125/12 25/13 broader [4] 8/199/21 31/245/2 brought [8] 14/1023/14 30/1034/436/354/261/6 64/24 BROWN [1] 1/11 burden [3] 57/2257/23 58/2 business [1] 44/18	c call [3] 31/1531/23 31/24 called [2] 43/2343/24 calls [1] 35/15 can [54] 9/510/113/4 17/517/2118/218/18 18/2222/1727/2428/16 33/1233/1535/535/15 35/1836/1337/1038/19 42/1746/1147/1948/8 48/2248/2548/2552/17 53/1354/454/1956/7 57/1857/2158/361/2 61/862/1563/1263/14 63/1665/465/866/366/9 66/1066/1266/1366/13 66/1568/868/2073/18 73/1874/25 can't [10] 4/120/23 20/2421/730/1734/19 46/1561/168/1470/19 cannot [5] 3/2513/14 23/125/336/8 Caplan [1] 3/17 captured [1] 13/6 case [31] 3/133/254/1 4/214/224/245/2311/21 15/1120/1827/828/6 35/2444/944/1751/15 52/752/1955/1357/11 59/1259/1762/2062/22	coda [1] 62/10 code [70] 43/1243/13 43/2044/144/644/15 44/1644/1644/2244/23 45/345/1745/1945/21 45/2345/2446/346/8 46/2146/2447/247/6 47/748/1048/1348/18 49/849/1049/2049/24 50/250/350/750/952/6 52/752/1152/1852/25 52/2553/153/253/654/9 54/1354/1655/255/9 55/1055/1855/2456/14 56/1656/2156/2557/1 57/457/757/1657/18 57/2058/1158/1258/21 59/2059/2460/762/8 74/2074/21 come [11] 7/1324/11 30/632/639/239/345/15 53/1460/1962/2164/4 comes [5] 31/1334/6 34/951/1951/22 comfort [1] 66/13 comment [2] 5/852/3 commentary [1] 75/10 comments [2] 46/9 64/2 commerce [1] 26/25 committed [1] 73/6 communication [3] 24/1525/1525/22 communications [8] 11/1219/2220/620/20 23/629/437/1344/11 company [2] 18/956/17 company-issued [1] 56/17 compare [1] 44/12 compel [1] 5/16 compelled [1] 64/9 compiled [1] 55/2 complaint [14] 5/17 6/1128/545/252/1056/9 56/1558/958/1058/11 58/1258/1358/2074/13 complete [3] 15/23 43/1148/17 completely [1] 42/15 completing [1] 72/23 completion [1] 69/16 complex [1] 4/23 complicated [1] 19/15 components [2] 47/2 48/9 compromise [3] 27/20 36/1637/8 compromises [1] 31/1 computer [3] 1/178/2 12/21 computer-aided [1] 1/17 concept [1] 64/13 concern [6] 6/2321/14 21/2222/422/549/3
---	---	---	---	---

concerning [7] 8/9 10/2016/1519/2220/7 23/637/12 concession [1] 39/1 conclude [1] 35/6 conclusion [1] 71/6 conclusively [1] 52/15 condition [1] 35/3 confer [5] 7/2217/6 35/1438/765/17 conferences [1] 4/9 confers [2] 34/239/21 confidential [14] 8/9 8/2310/810/1110/18 10/2011/211/1511/20 11/2215/148/348/10 56/13 confidentiality [2] 57/2357/25 confront [1] 32/20 confused [2] 32/1 51/16 confusing [1] 29/11 connection [1] 76/10 consider [1] 67/14 considered [1] 69/2 consistent [1] 51/6 consists [1] 10/2 constitute [1] 56/11 contending [1] 57/17 contention [5] 6/147/2 45/2045/2148/7 contentions [1] 44/17 context [3] 30/2132/5 56/2 continue [5] 11/730/16 40/1550/2251/15 contrary [2] 6/1652/9 contrast [1] 6/13 copied [1] 12/20 copy [2] 54/454/6 correct [3] 14/230/14 38/5 corresponded [1] 50/3 correspondence [2] 6/46/5 corresponding [3] 43/2049/1049/20 could [18] 5/217/916/3 20/1820/1920/1920/22 23/1224/1147/2149/15 51/152/257/1058/4 64/1664/2064/24 couldn't [1] 61/1 counsel [28] 3/83/18 3/195/245/2412/330/2 31/531/832/1538/24 40/359/1159/1660/10 60/1860/2461/161/1 61/461/661/964/12 65/1165/1766/667/25 72/19 counsel's [1] 73/5 County [1] 3/1 couple [3] 8/114/13 71/14 course [6] 37/2046/1	48/857/1860/1367/1 court [9] 1/11/193/2 7/1254/1176/476/576/8 76/19 Court's [2] 30/346/9 Courthouse [1] 1/19 coworkers [2] 24/16 25/19 CRC [2] 1/1876/18 created [4] 12/1922/6 22/922/22 creation [2] 37/447/23 creationist [1] 75/10 credibility [2] 32/21 63/6 critical [1] 50/1 cross [1] 33/14 cross-examine [1] 33/14 crossing [1] 27/7 CRR [2] 1/1876/18 current [3] 5/2416/16 16/18 customer [10] 4/25 19/2539/139/539/19 40/1845/1756/1156/12 56/19 customer-facing [1] 56/19 customers [62] 6/24 7/37/87/107/178/129/17 9/2112/512/812/1113/8 13/1614/114/2116/15 16/1616/1916/2216/25 17/1918/518/518/10 18/1118/1218/1418/14 18/2319/319/919/10 19/1219/1422/1822/19 23/223/1523/1623/20 24/1226/728/428/728/9 28/2229/1832/1733/3 33/1033/1133/2135/3 36/1636/2436/2537/7 39/1442/542/1642/19 51/4 CV [2] 1/576/10	D D'AMBROSIO [2] 2/3 3/12 da [5] 75/775/775/7 75/775/7 da-da-da-da-da [1] 75/7 data [3] 61/1561/18 61/20 dates [2] 69/2270/10 day [12] 29/2330/6 30/1034/636/336/22 51/551/662/1772/5 72/2576/14 days [19] 46/546/6 62/1866/2468/1368/18 69/2170/1570/1770/18 70/2270/2471/171/25 72/272/972/1272/24 73/3 deadline [4] 54/14	66/2468/2372/5 dealing [1] 17/16 December [1] 39/21 decide [2] 27/2471/9 deciding [1] 46/23 decision [1] 61/9 decisions [2] 4/15 50/23 declaration [4] 31/17 31/2060/165/9 defendant [12] 6/76/10 6/166/2121/528/2329/1 31/543/243/1252/761/6 defendant's [13] 6/13 7/158/510/1411/1129/3 29/943/451/1253/2 53/1156/2072/19 defendants [13] 1/72/4 3/143/165/168/219/9 9/1611/912/314/2353/4 53/12 defense [4] 7/1836/5 57/371/10 deficient [1] 49/23 definitely [1] 67/6 delay [1] 49/4 denies [1] 33/13 departure [1] 9/12 depend [1] 50/20 depose [2] 46/2269/13 deposition [3] 47/4 62/1070/24 depositions [6] 34/7 69/1470/1170/2271/6 72/13 describe [2] 43/15 58/23 described [5] 40/21 46/546/659/159/3 describing [1] 29/6 description [1] 11/16 design [3] 48/1462/9 62/9 details [1] 45/1 determination [1] 63/6 determine [1] 47/2 detrimental [2] 36/5 36/13 developing [2] 44/18 44/19 did [27] 6/178/1312/25 17/817/1026/429/23 30/230/232/639/944/21 46/1247/1952/952/10 54/1554/2155/2560/5 60/1362/2364/364/4 64/1473/1573/16 didn't [16] 8/2214/6 28/1330/632/934/17 36/2139/239/339/340/4 44/846/2459/1759/18 59/20 difference [5] 9/11 14/2263/2067/267/3 different [11] 14/24 32/1132/1236/2237/1 37/538/653/853/14 57/2065/12	differently [1] 73/20 difficult [4] 4/24/6/4/24 35/14 difficulty [1] 51/14 diligence [1] 5/23 diligent [1] 5/21 directly [1] 67/17 disappointed [1] 6/2 disclosure [4] 57/14 57/1660/864/19 discovery [61] 3/74/3 4/104/145/146/16/11 5/25/15/2/15/14 6/126/226/237/168/28/8 9/69/89/139/1710/411/3 11/1111/1711/1812/18 12/2313/413/2515/15 16/1418/1219/1619/17 22/524/425/126/537/12 37/1444/1069/1570/9 70/2170/2270/2371/2 71/1672/10 does [19] 5/75/816/7 25/525/826/1833/13 41/2142/948/1248/14 53/256/1956/2357/17 57/1963/1363/2269/8 doesn't [6] 13/1026/15 29/738/242/348/6 doing [11] 11/524/3 25/247/1550/2053/17 53/1853/2253/2360/16 69/25 don't [72] 4/245/226/16 6/176/238/138/1310/24 11/1911/2412/1513/10 14/2115/2216/1817/3 17/917/1118/418/15 dismiss [6] 54/1155/10 55/1556/458/2374/14 displayed [1] 27/1231/733/133/12 33/1235/835/1136/4 37/1037/1838/1539/11 41/1144/844/1444/24 46/1748/948/1751/5 51/1252/2552/2554/6 55/1256/1559/2261/11 61/1463/2064/1165/9 65/1866/466/1270/11 71/1572/2073/573/6 74/574/1175/475/9 done [13] 14/925/10 32/1334/837/144/5 60/2468/1368/1468/16 69/2470/1971/9 dot [3] 22/622/622/6 doubt [2] 27/1433/16 down [5] 10/111/8 16/1041/1348/4 downloads [1] 56/10 dozens [1] 10/4 draw [1] 9/6 Drive [1] 1/20 drives [1] 10/5 Droege [5] 11/2312/18 20/722/669/16 Droege's [1] 56/17 due [1] 72/10 duplicative [2] 13/4
--	---	---	--	---

<p>Case 1:21-cv-03420-MLB Document 185-14 Filed 09/12/23 Page 81 of 87</p>					
duplicative... [1] 64/16 during [1] 53/13	evidence [3] 32/2035/5 36/4 E each [4] 21/923/2461/7 66/4 earlier [1] 64/16 early [1] 51/7 earnest [1] 23/11 egregious [1] 5/25 either [5] 28/2537/11 47/363/973/7 electronic [2] 12/20 12/21 electronic-stored [1] 12/20 element [1] 15/3 elephant [1] 17/5 else [5] 17/628/12 44/1267/875/13 email [7] 10/1410/15 10/1620/727/136/17 39/10 emails [10] 6/106/19 10/512/1913/422/10 27/2227/2231/735/14 Emanuel [2] 3/1640/2 embarrassment [1] 4/13 emblematic [1] 16/12 employed [2] 45/23 57/24 employee [1] 3/9 end [5] 28/1332/1050/5 62/2463/14 ends [1] 71/23 engage [1] 46/13 enormous [1] 38/22 enough [6] 5/256/14 16/1049/1759/1367/5 entire [3] 34/836/170/4 entirety [2] 47/647/7 entities [11] 8/1711/4 12/2313/114/1415/17 16/2224/525/425/836/9 entitled [7] 6/1120/20 47/447/647/748/17 61/25 entry [1] 42/22 established [1] 57/2 estoppel [1] 34/12 ET [1] 1/6 even [19] 6/186/227/4 7/1116/2117/1517/18 23/1425/539/239/3 45/2049/2352/753/5 57/557/1960/564/22 ever [3] 22/2251/23 60/10 every [10] 22/924/15 24/1725/1425/1925/22 26/2426/2434/136/17 everybody [4] 3/185/7 42/965/6 everything [8] 8/20 22/2228/228/1230/25 44/1250/2071/4	feels [1] 34/12 felt [1] 35/20 few [3] 27/1246/546/5 fewer [1] 18/10 fight [1] 4/6 fighting [2] 13/1053/22 figure [3] 43/645/14 50/13 figuring [1] 48/4 file [8] 1/543/359/7 59/2060/567/1171/17 72/2 filed [5] 28/1942/25 45/958/869/3 files [4] 11/911/12 55/2468/7 filings [4] 53/2053/21 54/1768/8 final [1] 72/12 finally [1] 49/22 Financial [1] 56/12 find [6] 8/426/538/3 52/2553/153/2 fine [10] 32/2338/15 48/1048/2264/2165/1 69/2170/1872/973/5 finish [1] 5/13 finished [1] 34/7 firm [1] 64/22 first [18] 7/28/619/5 29/2134/534/1644/8 45/1849/650/2051/22 54/454/1955/358/859/5 59/1866/10 F face [1] 18/19 facing [7] 56/1956/24 57/557/957/1258/24 58/25 fact [10] 16/2436/3 44/1448/248/354/8 65/1068/2369/169/9 factor [1] 5/23 facts [5] 48/1261/4 74/1274/1275/9 factual [3] 66/266/10 74/3 factually [1] 61/23 Fair [2] 59/1367/5 fairly [2] 9/1152/15 faith [1] 46/13 false [1] 38/23 familiar [1] 19/9 FAPR [2] 1/1876/18 far [3] 14/1118/1035/4 fast [2] 16/1068/20 features [13] 54/9 54/1354/1655/155/8 56/2456/2557/2558/24 58/2562/2264/1366/24 February [5] 33/339/16 39/2049/749/11 February 2nd [3] 33/3 39/2049/11 February 2nd letter [1] 39/16 fed [1] 4/19	feel [1] 34/12 felt [1] 35/20 few [3] 27/1246/546/5 fewer [1] 18/10 fight [1] 4/6 fighting [2] 13/1053/22 figure [3] 43/645/14 50/13 figuring [1] 48/4 file [8] 1/543/359/7 59/2060/567/1171/17 72/2 filed [5] 28/1942/25 45/958/869/3 files [4] 11/911/12 55/2468/7 filings [4] 53/2053/21 54/1768/8 final [1] 72/12 finally [1] 49/22 Financial [1] 56/12 find [6] 8/426/538/3 52/2553/153/2 fine [10] 32/2338/15 48/1048/2264/2165/1 69/2170/1872/973/5 finish [1] 5/13 finished [1] 34/7 firm [1] 64/22 first [18] 7/28/619/5 29/2134/534/1644/8 45/1849/650/2051/22 54/454/1955/358/859/5 59/1866/10 five [11] 18/923/23 24/1424/1525/1525/23 28/132/1335/1449/20 56/14 flows [1] 56/13 fluff [1] 74/11 focus [3] 24/2345/19 65/21 focused [2] 28/748/22 follow [2] 64/1571/24 follow-up [1] 64/15 following [3] 9/12 40/2069/18 footer [1] 27/23 foregoing [1] 76/12 foreshadowing [1] 7/4 form [3] 15/425/22 76/11 former [9] 12/316/16 16/1819/338/2440/2 42/459/1159/15 forth [3] 29/429/872/11 forths [2] 6/628/21 forward [4] 6/1520/18 70/1370/13 fought [2] 38/2138/22 found [4] 15/1215/14 43/2052/18 founders [1] 19/7 four [9] 22/722/10 30/2239/439/539/9 February 2nd letter [1] 39/1139/1256/14 four-month [1] 30/22 four-year [1] 22/10	Fox [5] 31/1860/160/3 62/2165/12 frankly [3] 29/1141/19 47/9 freely [1] 19/13 frequently [1] 38/13 fresh [1] 64/4 friends [2] 24/1625/20 front [7] 4/1715/723/13 23/1328/1349/1356/5 full [2] 46/362/5 fully [1] 46/17 Fulton [1] 3/1 functionality [10] 53/9 55/2557/957/1262/22 71/1174/1974/2074/21 74/22 functions [8] 54/10 54/1354/1655/155/8 57/2564/1366/24 fundamentally [1] 53/12 funders [1] 37/14 funding [1] 37/13 further [6] 9/1546/19 48/255/2059/170/1	59/1561/561/1961/22 61/2362/2164/1266/2 67/967/967/1067/10 67/1367/2267/2570/21 71/1 gone [3] 15/2451/1 51/14 good [10] 3/103/15 28/1330/1838/642/20 46/1349/1751/2563/1 got [11] 29/2130/15 35/845/845/849/2253/8 54/554/763/1970/25 grant [6] 5/1813/12 14/516/836/767/10 great [4] 3/1413/952/24 63/2 grocery [1] 27/3 grounds [1] 24/6 guess [2] 32/760/4 guide [1] 50/25 guides [1] 56/14 Gunneman [1] 3/11 GUNNEMANN [1] 2/2 guy [1] 47/13
<p>H</p>					
<p>had [28] 4/24/257/16 18/1019/1121/2526/9 28/2032/332/1032/11 3/176/6 GEORGIA [4] 1/11/20 3/176/6 get [26] 4/147/88/410/7 17/517/717/817/10 20/1821/1128/228/10 34/244/644/1547/11 48/2149/2156/662/23 63/1464/2264/2365/24 66/1570/21 gets [2] 34/155/4 getting [3] 4/2131/25 65/12 give [14] 13/1716/3 35/443/844/844/11 46/2446/2549/1962/8 62/1464/2566/1271/1 given [7] 6/415/12 17/1328/436/2244/15 46/9 gives [3] 5/521/572/2 giving [2] 32/1459/24 glad [1] 75/5 go [22] 6/156/1811/7 16/618/1121/930/16 35/1338/339/1840/17 40/1941/2341/2546/7 48/2150/1253/1066/13 70/1370/1371/3 goal [1] 33/2 goes [1] 10/15 going [56] 4/114/15 4/164/195/186/66/86/15 8/321/1123/2228/231/3 35/136/236/636/637/11 37/2440/1340/1540/20 40/2241/2242/142/1 42/1142/1247/1448/20 50/2050/2250/2551/17 53/453/559/659/659/9</p>	had [28] 4/24/257/16 18/1019/1121/2526/9 28/2032/332/1032/11 35/1039/439/539/5 39/2140/746/750/251/7 51/864/1265/2066/6 68/1171/1773/673/7 hadn't [1] 52/18 hand [3] 9/731/1132/3 handing [1] 23/25 happen [1] 67/20 happened [5] 20/23 33/2443/1650/2166/24 happy [4] 34/2246/9 46/1047/11 hard [9] 7/97/247/25 10/529/1135/1135/13 41/1961/13 hardest [2] 38/2138/21 has [35] 4/25/55/23 6/2410/1010/1711/21 12/713/114/2515/518/5 25/326/2128/1135/7 35/1343/1745/1546/5 go [22] 6/156/1811/7 16/618/1121/930/16 35/1338/339/1840/17 40/1941/2341/2546/7 48/2150/1253/1066/13 65/672/2074/20 hasn't [3] 20/2342/16 71/22 hate [1] 72/16 have [140] haven't [6] 3/2513/22 15/1118/944/1563/11 having [8] 4/54/915/15 23/1930/2334/2446/15 47/18 he [23] 5/531/2133/13 34/1634/1734/1847/13 47/1747/2451/1951/20 51/2251/2352/452/5				

he... [8] 52/860/160/2 62/2563/2267/2268/1 68/7 he'll [1] 39/12 he's [7] 45/2346/6 50/1151/1951/2051/20 67/22 head [2] 34/1935/12 hear [8] 5/232/936/21 37/839/147/1252/9 52/10 heard [1] 53/25 hearing [12] 31/12 31/2432/2038/746/10 59/159/1564/366/166/3 66/1076/11 heart [1] 7/18 held [1] 76/8 help [1] 30/17 her [1] 47/18 here [40] 3/63/183/24 4/165/35/45/55/125/13 8/2511/713/314/1915/3 16/1417/1119/1820/25 23/2226/2130/2132/24 34/2136/1637/137/5 39/1940/1741/1841/20 43/243/945/2249/13 54/758/758/760/261/16 67/21 here's [10] 32/2532/25 35/2138/1740/2249/3 59/659/1062/374/16 hereby [1] 76/6 hey [3] 27/1364/25 74/13 hiding [1] 33/25 him [6] 31/2331/24 32/2032/2133/1434/21 his [7] 10/1510/15 34/1935/1247/1847/25 68/1 historical [1] 44/2 hit [7] 6/227/1725/1 33/1136/1837/1638/2 hits [1] 26/21 hmm [7] 10/2211/112/1 12/621/1741/2566/5 hold [10] 26/226/15 29/1732/1752/156/1 67/967/967/1067/13 holding [1] 23/16 holistically [1] 30/25 Honor [94] HONORABLE [1] 1/11 hopefully [2] 73/9 73/20 horribly [1] 4/23 horse [1] 31/1 housekeeping [1] 73/25 how [17] 4/114/134/20 9/1818/2219/225/10 46/746/1748/451/13 56/1556/2262/963/18 70/2074/3 hundreds [1] 27/21	hurt [21/35/735/7 I I'd [5] 3/2443/768/13 68/1674/12 I'll [14] 18/1020/630/16 31/2432/1732/2134/13 35/439/139/1461/25 62/466/2072/5 I'm [98] I've [17] 5/226/26/36/14 9/112/1013/1913/24 14/1315/2441/950/22 51/1254/554/769/469/5 ID [9] 43/1643/2349/9 49/1749/1949/2149/24 50/462/18 idea [7] 45/2251/17 65/1265/2066/766/8 66/8 identification [8] 43/4 54/154/554/2555/22 59/259/459/18 identified [15] 6/24 11/412/712/2413/16 14/1417/2225/942/4 43/1354/1356/957/3 58/2260/8 identifies [2] 56/15 58/9 identify [9] 19/1519/16 36/946/853/1455/24 56/857/1458/20 identifying [1] 57/15 ignored [2] 34/134/2 illustrative [1] 62/6 imagine [2] 7/247/25 important [5] 7/814/19 52/364/1564/18 impose [1] 41/14 imposed [1] 9/16 imposing [1] 22/12 improperly [1] 71/16 INC [4] 1/31/676/976/9 include [4] 38/2039/6 39/747/22 included [4] 6/738/19 41/1354/20 included -- this [1] 38/19 includes [1] 23/2 including [6] 8/108/11 10/2110/2412/1937/13 indeed [1] 27/21 indefensible [4] 20/2 21/321/621/7 independent [1] 55/2 indicate [3] 19/1155/17 64/17 individual [3] 10/14 13/747/23 individuals [1] 11/23 informal [1] 18/2 information [26] 8/10 8/239/79/810/810/12 10/1810/2111/1511/20 11/2212/2020/920/10 20/1244/2046/446/6	47/2547/2554/2056/10 57/360/960/1569/18 initial [1] 60/8 insisted [1] 38/25 instance [1] 50/21 instead [1] 36/17 instructions [1] 5/5 insurance [1] 20/10 intended [3] 24/23 25/1126/5 intention [1] 69/25 interim [4] 28/2341/10 41/1748/21 internal [1] 29/8 interpose [1] 41/11 interpret [1] 7/9 interrogatories [1] 11/11 interrogatory [3] 11/14 54/1261/16 interrupt [1] 12/16 investment [1] 37/13 investor [3] 5/176/12 38/2 investors [1] 37/14 invoicing [1] 43/23 involved [1] 65/11 involves [1] 32/17 is [249] is -- this [1] 38/10 isn't [7] 17/1727/8 29/2444/948/455/9 55/11 issue [27] 5/1415/7 16/416/918/418/418/19 21/1127/828/2134/9 34/1134/1235/1636/14 43/145/1549/1551/23 64/864/1364/1565/11 65/2166/1067/1671/11 issued [2] 49/1656/17 issues [8] 26/1432/11 43/1051/966/1673/14 73/1573/20 it [219] it's [67] 4/134/226/5 6/107/87/247/258/38/15 9/29/2010/1211/19 11/2015/416/1220/8 21/2424/2125/1428/1 28/228/628/2528/25 30/733/2233/2534/1 34/435/1135/1335/18 39/1539/1841/1944/22 44/2351/1452/2453/6 53/2453/2554/156/6 58/2158/2158/2258/23 63/1965/266/1766/18 66/2267/567/2071/21 72/574/374/2274/25 75/5 item [1] 40/8 items [2] 28/1869/3 its [1] 54/15	76/18 January [5] 39/2/49/14 52/2155/2160/24 January 11th [1] 52/21 Jon [1] 3/11 JONATHAN [1] 2/2 Josh [1] 3/11 JOSHUA [1] 2/2 judge [5] 6/1832/21 62/274/1574/16 judged [1] 66/8 judgment [2] 58/14 59/23 JULIA [2] 2/53/17 July [3] 5/1917/10 50/24 July 13 [1] 17/10 July 13th [1] 50/24 July 19th [1] 5/19 June [18] 17/849/22 50/250/251/2454/14 54/2055/555/656/22 58/858/1659/461/25 62/362/1664/1565/20 June 13th [2] 17/8 62/16 June 15th [1] 51/24 June 23 [1] 55/5 June 23rd [5] 49/22 54/1570/2071/1373/25 75/9	51/13 known [1] 32/11 Kyle [2] 31/1931/20 L LANDES [5] 2/43/169/5 46/455/21 language [3] 14/13 54/2357/20 laptop [1] 56/18 last [23] 4/84/85/14 16/816/1129/2330/6 30/1034/636/336/22 51/552/1962/1763/9 63/1065/765/1266/18 last-second [1] 66/18 late [4] 33/2250/250/2 65/20 later [5] 29/332/741/16 50/553/14 law [5] 2/32/54/1358/5 64/22 lawyer [3] 31/1331/16 51/9 lawyer's [1] 31/9 lawyers [8] 4/54/12 4/2220/1823/1164/21 64/2264/24 lay [1] 40/18 lead [2] 3/183/19 leads [1] 32/16 learn [1] 63/15 least [3] 29/1155/10 63/18 leave [1] 42/2 leaving [1] 57/6 left [4] 18/1019/1057/9 69/2 legally [1] 61/24 legitimate [2] 23/16 38/16 less [1] 70/17 let [14] 8/48/1812/17 13/1713/1718/2139/17 41/2347/147/149/459/7 59/974/9 KATHERINE [1] 2/3 keep [2] 41/2266/17 kept [1] 4/11 key [4] 9/1719/2542/18 74/22 keystone [1] 66/23 kids [1] 24/19 kind [1] 20/1 knew [2] 39/1260/6 know [35] 4/228/22 9/1310/2411/1913/10 16/1816/2018/518/15 19/219/620/2323/24 23/2425/725/1027/12 35/1135/2337/1844/8 44/1447/1948/1248/13 52/652/1153/1362/14 63/2066/2170/1070/20 74/10 knowing [2] 31/661/7 knowledge [2] 44/20
---	--	--	--	---

Case 1:21-cv-03420-MLB Document 185-14 Filed 09/12/23 Page 83 of 87	
<p>limit... [6] 22/1728/11 40/2045/2160/675/6 limitation [24] 7/17/13 7/259/1614/1714/20 15/2115/2319/1519/25 23/1528/928/2229/18 31/633/2535/136/17 38/1639/640/1941/8 42/1951/4 limitations [5] 12/24 21/1022/1133/839/19 limited [19] 7/37/23 8/1711/312/412/23 13/1514/1423/1223/19 24/526/628/136/837/21 39/1345/1655/1359/19 limiting [10] 7/109/17 12/1013/2431/333/10 37/1838/1658/1258/13 line [1] 27/13 linear [1] 62/11 list [19] 14/1516/11 17/217/417/718/318/4 21/1221/2021/2422/2 22/1825/939/142/12 43/1945/1756/1156/12 listen [4] 22/1334/22 35/139/14 lists [1] 4/25 little [4] 20/2530/21 31/2561/6 log [3] 7/1/1571/19 71/23 logs [3] 44/350/472/10 long [5] 4/124/1630/22 30/2374/3 look [14] 8/116/216/3 17/719/1927/2028/25 38/139/2452/553/854/7 56/261/7 looked [4] 6/218/25 56/363/12 looking [15] 6/38/59/1 11/2418/1523/2540/7 40/840/950/650/852/21 54/254/2460/19 lot [8] 6/38/218/19 25/2329/1341/2474/11 75/4 low [1] 49/5 luck [1] 53/3 Lunch [1] 24/17</p> <hr/> <p>M</p> <p>machine [1] 76/7 made [9] 14/1231/1 47/2449/2450/2357/9 61/964/371/24 maintain [1] 57/24 majority [1] 27/16 make [18] 4/114/155/8 7/1214/1835/648/22 52/253/763/663/15 63/2064/166/1266/13 67/168/1171/14 makes [2] 29/767/3 man [2] 47/1564/25</p>	<p>management [1] 143/24 many [3] 5/18/37/20 March [8] 12/430/130/4 33/533/740/2441/151/8 March 23rd [1] 33/7 March 23rd letter [1] 41/1 March 3rd [2] 12/433/5 March 3rd letter [1] 40/24 market [1] 48/6 marketing [2] 10/13 10/13 material [2] 10/373/5 materials [6] 5/179/21 10/1310/1472/572/21 matter [7] 13/1026/15 42/363/1166/1873/25 76/9 matters [5] 61/2463/11 63/1265/1574/25 may [13] 3/89/1120/21 24/1830/449/1661/24 62/263/566/1766/18 66/1873/7 May 19th [1] 49/16 maybe [12] 7/320/25 27/2142/1461/665/3 65/465/465/465/865/9 65/10 me [57] 3/115/25/14 5/166/46/96/207/97/9 7/258/48/48/189/110/19 12/1712/2513/1713/23 14/1014/1615/815/12 20/2421/522/1323/13 23/1323/1426/1726/17 29/629/730/630/1031/4 31/531/731/832/2335/6 36/439/1739/2441/19 41/2343/846/2049/4 51/1951/2057/663/12 65/1067/2074/976/11 mean [19] 11/2312/15 16/720/1723/2325/13 27/230/2132/2338/9 41/1953/2155/755/9 55/1956/858/1261/14 70/4 meaning [1] 53/1 means [4] 10/2511/2 35/2374/22 meant [1] 10/8 measures [1] 57/24 mechanical [1] 1/16 medical [2] 10/1420/9 meet [7] 7/2117/634/2 35/1438/739/2058/1 memorializing [1] 39/20 mention [2] 9/719/1 mentioned [4] 8/21 9/1339/841/9 mentions [3] 9/2210/2 11/18 mere [1] 56/19 MICHAEL [1] 1/11 might [14] 19/1231/25</p> <p>36/1840/949/1253/7 63/1163/2063/2065/15 65/1567/167/670/5 mince [1] 4/17 mind [1] 47/18 mine [1] 56/23 minute [3] 8/452/19 65/13 minutes [2] 50/850/8 misunderstood [1] 21/21 MLB [2] 1/576/10 modified [1] 53/7 moment [1] 28/10 money [1] 4/18 month [2] 30/2230/22 months [13] 17/318/17 30/2232/1335/1439/4 39/539/939/1139/12 44/450/550/8 Mooney [6] 31/1931/20 33/1335/1260/362/21 more [26] 4/185/35/21 16/216/318/1718/22 19/1519/1828/1633/21 42/1746/1448/2249/4 50/1250/1951/963/16 68/1769/869/2171/10 73/1873/2574/9 most [6] 7/842/2242/22 42/2442/2560/2 motion [18] 36/755/10 55/1456/458/1458/22 59/759/2060/561/15 61/2067/1167/1767/19 71/871/1774/174/14 motions [1] 54/10 move [3] 20/1841/21 71/11 moving [3] 4/217/8 28/10 Mr. [14] 9/511/2320/7 33/1335/1246/452/4 55/2160/160/360/3 62/2162/2165/12 Mr. Chally [1] 52/4 Mr. Droege [2] 11/23 20/7 Mr. Fox [4] 60/160/3 62/2165/12 Mr. Landes [3] 9/546/4 55/21 Mr. Mooney [4] 33/13 35/1260/362/21 Mrs. [1] 38/19 Mrs. Stone [1] 38/19 37/1048/654/1057/6 58/459/2461/1565/7 68/16 must [1] 29/17 my [26] 5/198/29/1 17/2020/128/328/12 30/730/940/941/2142/3 45/745/1849/351/11 51/1351/1555/1456/23 57/759/1959/2365/23 65/2367/5</p> <p>N</p> <p>name [1] 14/1 names [1] 43/24 narrow [1] 66/16 natural [1] 19/15 nature [1] 47/8 necessarily [1] 34/18 need [17] 9/1316/2 23/2227/2539/748/12 48/1352/552/1165/9 66/967/1569/1371/14 74/974/1175/4 needed [2] 49/1771/10 needle [1] 41/21 needless [1] 49/4 needs [4] 15/447/3 67/2368/1 negligence [1] 34/11 negotiate [1] 28/13 negotiated [5] 24/22 25/1130/2532/1032/12 negotiating [1] 33/9 negotiations [1] 33/24 network [1] 12/21 never [17] 6/197/312/7 17/733/433/533/834/4 37/839/639/840/14 40/2340/2541/257/3 74/25 nevertheless [3] 30/4 57/2164/17 new [12] 49/1749/19 60/1860/2561/965/2 65/1165/1966/866/8 68/868/8 next [4] 3/2342/21 72/2474/24 night [2] 65/1875/2 no [37] 1/55/99/1910/1 10/112/1713/1913/19 19/1120/820/1127/15 28/1931/2239/940/12 40/1241/642/1744/22 46/1855/1258/1058/10 60/1863/2464/1365/11 68/469/1069/1169/25 70/272/2372/2572/25 75/14 none [1] 61/24 NORTHERN [2] 1/1 76/5 not [140] notable [1] 62/13 notes [1] 40/9 notices [1] 70/12 notion [4] 7/1510/10 13/1551/16 now [25] 3/223/254/16 11/2412/1213/218/22 20/2421/728/1129/6 34/1745/1545/2347/5 49/2549/2550/551/20 52/1653/1555/2064/6 71/2075/1 number [25] 5/1310/20 12/1816/2519/2019/21 21/1423/923/1824/6 25/1228/2329/236/6</p> <p>O</p> <p>obfuscate [1] 4/6 object [1] 15/15 objected [1] 24/6 objecting [1] 29/1 objection [12] 8/16 8/1613/314/1214/17 15/2522/1622/1741/12 51/468/473/11 objections [8] 10/24 11/1012/912/2214/10 22/2172/373/8 obligations [1] 47/23 obtained [1] 12/19 occurred [1] 55/13 off [2] 17/428/24 offices [1] 76/6 Official [3] 1/1976/4 76/19 oh [10] 4/238/1522/3 40/640/1741/2553/5 53/2462/2173/1 okay [85] old [2] 60/2461/1 once [2] 47/1952/17 one [68] 6/26/56/96/14 6/237/178/129/79/10 11/2313/1615/1715/18 16/316/816/1116/12 17/2018/1318/1420/19 21/421/923/223/823/12 23/1523/1723/1823/18 23/1925/425/1226/23 27/827/1127/2528/1 28/429/1730/1832/17 36/1236/1338/1938/20 41/341/441/1342/21 42/2242/2543/1043/23 47/1449/1852/256/11 57/2059/560/160/13 61/1664/164/2266/23 67/1673/25 one-year [1] 27/11 ones [11] 12/1014/4 16/817/1317/2121/1 21/521/1129/262/769/4 only [19] 4/79/514/12 16/2417/1317/2017/21 22/1623/1323/1328/3 38/1842/446/554/9 54/1354/1458/2169/2 open [4] 3/141/2465/7 76/8 opened [1] 8/2 opinion [2] 20/128/12 opportunity [4] 43/3</p>

O <i>Case 1:21-cv-03420-MLB Document 185-14 Filed 09/12/23 Page 84 of 87</i>	<p>opportunity... [3] 45/2546/2268/5 opposed [1] 57/4 opposing [2] 54/10 60/10 opposition [3] 56/4 58/1359/23 order [8] 14/934/3 49/1655/1455/1656/23 57/759/19 ordered [1] 69/4 ordering [1] 55/17 orders [1] 34/3 other [35] 4/910/512/8 16/819/1722/2123/24 23/2524/226/826/9 26/1026/1429/230/17 41/1344/148/551/252/2 57/2158/761/764/23 64/2466/467/967/16 67/1669/2471/572/5 72/673/1774/16 others [4] 16/1235/23 45/675/11 otherwise [7] 3/235/2 23/2324/1435/236/23 68/12 ought [19] 6/187/12 7/1213/1514/514/6 14/1615/2016/720/13 23/1251/951/2361/3 63/1866/870/1471/19 72/10 our [36] 7/229/1016/4 18/1418/1418/2519/6 19/1830/231/1132/3 32/533/234/836/15 36/1740/2441/146/1 46/246/1046/1346/20 47/347/848/749/849/20 57/857/1457/1561/15 66/2471/2373/1974/1 out [10] 38/340/18 41/1843/645/1448/4 50/1351/1753/358/15 outcome [1] 69/4 outer [1] 7/14 output [1] 57/1 outrageous [1] 75/7 outrageousness [1] 75/10 outset [2] 46/1064/3 outward [6] 56/2457/4 57/957/1258/2358/25 over [3] 13/1115/2 23/25 overbroad [1] 35/20 overwhelming [1] 27/16 own [2] 21/734/11</p> <hr/> <p>P</p> <p>p.m [1] 75/18 page [14] 6/1039/24 39/2540/740/1740/18 41/541/849/752/2254/8 54/2555/2356/9</p>	<p>Page 11 [1] 49/7 Page 2 [1] 6/10 Page 3 [2] 54/854/25 Page 51 [1] 52/22 Page 58 [1] 55/23 Page 6 [1] 41/5 Page 7 [3] 40/1740/18 41/8 pages [1] 40/20 part [17] 8/158/259/2 9/313/317/424/427/19 30/2136/1553/2455/8 59/1259/1662/2265/3 74/17 particular [5] 12/8 19/2447/2348/964/9 particularly [4] 29/14 46/951/364/2 parties [6] 5/207/4 24/2229/930/2534/3 parts [2] 21/749/9 party's [1] 6/22 passing [1] 65/18 passwords [1] 43/25 Pay [1] 20/12 paying [2] 4/185/5 Payment's [1] 43/12 PAYMENTS [3] 1/63/7 76/9 PDF [2] 41/541/8 people [14] 23/2424/12 24/1424/1625/1525/23 27/1128/136/1844/18 44/1947/1062/964/24 Perfect [1] 26/12 performed [1] 4/21 Perhaps [1] 63/14 period [3] 22/1024/16 27/11 person [4] 45/2247/19 47/1952/6 personal [2] 10/15 22/10 personally [1] 23/24 perspective [6] 9/10 18/1419/619/1845/18 57/8 physical [1] 54/6 pick [1] 7/7 picking [1] 17/20 piece [1] 49/25 pivot [2] 26/2053/5 place [5] 28/1031/15 38/1847/1447/14 places [1] 47/11 plaintiff [23] 1/42/2 5/165/216/176/216/24 18/2221/529/1729/20 29/2332/1834/1136/3 36/751/353/154/854/10 54/1556/2367/14 plaintiff's [10] 6/77/2 8/643/445/1654/12 56/2057/457/467/25 plaintiffs [2] 3/126/1 plans [1] 24/17 plausible [1] 7/11 play [2] 32/1262/15</p> <p>pleading [2] 43/359/23 Please [1] 18/1 point [12] 8/2217/20 18/1344/2552/261/15 61/1865/2365/2367/5 68/2373/14 points [2] 61/2071/14 poorly [2] 4/134/20 portion [1] 43/3 portions [1] 46/23 pose [1] 12/9 posed [1] 28/23 position [9] 7/1828/23 32/1841/1041/1141/21 41/2248/865/17 positions [1] 31/14 positives [1] 38/23 possible [5] 59/2261/4 61/869/1870/10 possibly [1] 68/20 post [2] 5/176/11 postponed [1] 69/14 potential [1] 37/14 potentially [1] 32/7 practice [1] 4/13 precisely [1] 64/17 predecessor [1] 30/2 predicted [3] 52/16 52/1760/23 prefer [1] 9/24 prejudiced [2] 34/24 65/22 prepared [2] 58/169/17 present [1] 73/10 pretty [3] 4/2229/655/9 preview [2] 61/1562/20 previously [3] 43/13 72/2275/7 prior [10] 5/2431/8 32/1550/451/2361/4 64/764/1266/672/7 priority [2] 6/2312/5 privilege [4] 60/17 71/1571/1771/19 privileged [1] 72/10 probably [3] 4/1829/21 70/17 problem [3] 27/17 34/1072/23 procedures [1] 30/3 proceed [1] 68/13 proceedings [7] 1/11 1/1633/275/1776/8 76/1076/13 process [8] 4/34/14 36/147/147/247/1149/4 50/11 produce [36] 5/176/21 8/168/2011/311/911/17 12/2214/2215/618/12 20/1624/424/1325/1 29/1029/1331/332/16 35/835/835/2238/3 46/1149/1049/1549/20 68/1969/470/970/23 71/272/672/672/2273/2 produced [21] 1/17 10/310/511/613/515/16</p> <p>read [12] 6/199/1811/7 12/1020/1928/1829/3 55/1459/2262/1069/5 75/9 readily [1] 58/24 reading [1] 21/12 ready [3] 50/751/20 71/17 realistic [1] 7/25 really [8] 4/204/206/18 7/511/525/1353/861/13 reason [9] 52/559/17 66/768/1469/2370/18 71/2171/2274/16 reasonable [3] 37/8 57/2457/24 reasons [4] 5/196/2 23/144/7 recall [1] 49/12 receive [1] 41/2 received [7] 9/1333/4 33/533/840/1440/23 40/25 receives [1] 20/7 recent [3] 42/2342/24 42/25 recently [1] 49/23 Recognizing [1] 53/11 reconsider [2] 67/22 68/1 reconsideration [2] 59/2160/5 record [5] 5/2529/24 52/260/1476/12 recorded [1] 1/16 redacted [1] 9/23 reduced [1] 76/11 refer [4] 13/1514/1 15/1629/17 reference [6] 9/149/21 11/1214/1224/355/18 referenced [1] 22/18 referencing [1] 11/13 referring [5] 23/1541/4 41/541/1655/21 refers [1] 23/2 regarding [1] 20/20 regardless [1] 17/2 regards [1] 51/3 reiterated [1] 33/5 relate [10] 13/1515/17 19/1423/1925/425/5 25/826/1829/1735/22 related [5] 18/1243/7 44/144/355/18 relates [3] 23/243/24 52/3 relating [1] 16/15 relation [2] 38/1338/13 RELAY [16] 1/63/7 16/1518/527/937/4 37/1343/1144/2145/23 45/2446/2348/652/8 69/1776/9 Relay's [2] 43/2043/25 relevant [10] 13/122/23 24/1326/549/966/19 66/2267/667/1767/19</p>
--	--	--

R Case 1:21-cv-03420-MLB Document 185-14 Filed 09/12/23 Page 85 of 87
reliance [3] 36/436/5
36/13
relief [1] 15/9
rely [1] 56/19
remarkable [1] 52/5
remove [1] 42/19
removing [2] 14/20
21/10
reply [1] 74/15
reported [1] 76/7
Reporter [3] 1/1976/4
76/19
REPORTERS [1] 75/20
represent [1] 3/12
representation [1]
59/11
represented [2] 6/20
65/10
request [28] 5/186/25
8/811/313/1313/13
16/1419/1919/2119/24
24/2135/239/1839/19
40/2040/2041/1241/12
41/1343/1145/945/11
45/1945/1948/2250/13
53/2367/15
requested [1] 12/8
requests [22] 6/87/16
8/613/2414/1015/16
15/1818/2519/1419/16
19/1728/2440/2245/8
53/2554/1562/1664/7
64/1564/1667/1771/24
require [1] 28/3
required [1] 59/2
requirement [2] 36/7
36/8
requires [1] 46/14
requiring [1] 33/11
reserve [1] 32/6
reserving [1] 73/21
resolution [1] 45/14
resolve [6] 21/723/12
34/366/1071/773/20
respond [6] 17/2140/5
40/1348/2551/959/9
response [30] 9/18
10/1911/811/2513/13
13/1413/2319/2420/16
29/329/1431/333/433/6
33/836/836/2240/11
40/1240/1240/1440/23
40/2541/256/359/10
59/1074/1774/1875/6
responses [22] 7/22
7/238/611/1014/14
18/1629/529/1529/19
29/2230/130/2331/11
32/332/633/734/235/18
51/851/1354/1361/16
responsible [4] 44/18
44/1945/2346/23
responsive [9] 7/16
11/312/1112/2315/15
19/1619/1724/426/14
responsiveness [3]
13/2515/422/17
retained [6] 8/208/21
9/89/2210/313/3
retrace [2] 35/1335/14
returning [1] 38/22
revelation [1] 66/23
revenue [1] 16/15
review [7] 15/430/24
34/845/2546/146/11
50/3
reviewed [1] 41/4
reviewing [2] 13/2
46/21
reviews [1] 5/4
rewarding [1] 34/10
rewriting [1] 47/15
RFP [14] 12/913/6
13/1816/618/318/420/4
31/1132/333/736/23
49/551/862/6
RFPs [7] 12/412/13
14/2015/2218/2035/20
49/6
right [48] 3/65/127/19
14/114/1720/1621/12
24/724/824/1024/24
26/1026/2326/2529/24
30/1330/1532/632/12
34/1036/1437/438/4
38/1039/339/1740/4
41/942/2042/2143/10
43/1444/1245/748/16
49/2551/1953/1554/3
60/2062/1262/1968/10
70/570/670/871/20
75/13
rights [1] 6/1
ripe [1] 51/20
road [2] 41/1365/6
roadmap [1] 56/13
ROADSYNC [43] 1/3
3/79/79/129/1410/8
10/1110/1110/1510/17
10/1811/1411/2011/21
11/2212/712/1912/20
13/514/2415/1016/16
16/1819/719/1019/22
20/722/622/723/625/6
26/1026/1827/933/25
37/438/1339/745/22
47/2448/552/876/9
RoadSync's [14] 8/9
11/1012/314/1519/22
19/2320/1320/2020/21
36/2443/2347/2447/25
56/16
room [4] 20/1857/6
59/2461/12
rule [5] 36/262/162/4
63/863/11
ruled [2] 51/158/10
ruling [3] 28/337/24
59/23
run [3] 4/1827/1932/16
RYAN [2] 2/43/16
S
said [53] 5/195/226/7
6/106/136/146/167/7
11/1412/1517/317/5
18/1621/2324/1332/15
34/535/2136/1536/23
37/337/539/439/639/11
41/1741/2049/649/7
49/1049/1950/2251/12
52/452/452/552/24
52/2455/2355/2456/22
58/1158/1158/1359/19
61/2464/2574/1374/15
74/1674/1874/1876/10
same [21] 7/118/418/4
23/1836/1137/2438/8
40/1641/2242/642/7
42/844/2145/2247/10
52/653/660/2464/664/8
74/21
sat [2] 61/566/7
saved [1] 56/17
say [44] 4/66/110/23
11/1612/2214/618/9
18/1020/320/620/19
28/1129/1131/133/1
33/1233/1533/1934/19
36/1337/1538/2539/9
39/1239/1940/2441/1
42/1551/2352/1753/5
53/1055/2056/856/9
56/2359/1061/862/21
65/465/866/2068/8
72/16
saying [16] 11/2018/17
22/2525/325/830/18
40/440/2049/1653/12
53/1860/2565/266/17
74/2074/21
says [4] 11/831/13
43/1254/8
schedule [1] 74/6
school [1] 24/19
scope [4] 18/2530/24
30/2465/5
scratch [1] 47/15
search [39] 6/2224/22
24/2224/2325/125/10
25/1126/426/826/9
26/1026/1026/1926/21
26/2327/2528/128/13
30/530/1730/2431/2
31/1032/132/432/10
32/1232/1633/1033/11
33/1433/1734/2535/9
36/1837/1637/1937/22
38/2
second [8] 8/543/8
54/1854/2463/963/10
65/766/18
secret [31] 15/138/12
43/1643/2348/349/9
49/1749/1949/2150/4
54/154/454/955/355/18
55/2256/1656/2157/10
57/1357/1457/1557/18
57/2158/359/259/3
59/1860/962/1864/19
secrets [14] 4/258/10
10/2111/1519/2220/14
20/2123/728/643/5
54/1556/956/1156/24
See [12] 5/52/2065/18
39/1741/342/1745/8
54/456/1565/1766/4
66/15
seeing [1] 35/19
seeking [3] 15/1064/8
64/9
seeks [2] 19/2143/11
seems [2] 43/657/6
seen [2] 10/1235/13
selected [2] 46/16
46/16
selecting [1] 46/18
send [1] 34/1
senior [1] 5/4
sent [1] 33/3
series [7] 6/610/23
12/2212/2421/128/20
29/19
serve [4] 50/1354/14
62/1766/25
served [4] 30/133/7
49/2362/18
server [1] 12/21
services [4] 19/23
20/2123/736/25
set [5] 8/636/2546/3
49/669/22
setting [1] 70/7
seven [2] 17/318/17
shadow [2] 33/933/15
shared [2] 37/1438/1
she [1] 5/5
ships [1] 65/18
should [11] 6/1913/12
18/2123/1829/2044/6
51/1655/1855/2470/13
74/8
shouldn't [4] 42/14
63/2264/2573/11
show [2] 31/735/5
side [1] 22/11
significant [2] 14/21
72/21
signify [1] 73/6
silence [3] 33/2434/18
37/11
silly [1] 20/1
similar [1] 53/8
simple [4] 4/2230/7
30/965/10
simplest [2] 46/2047/5
simply [8] 18/2422/25
45/2548/748/1168/5
70/2073/21
since [2] 17/355/10
single [9] 22/924/15
24/1725/1425/1925/22
26/2426/2536/17
sir [8] 3/205/931/22
45/1055/1656/767/24
75/14
sit [1] 10/1
sitting [5] 4/817/9
17/1120/2432/24
situation [2] 46/15
52/16
six [3] 30/2230/22
32/13
six-month [1] 30/22
slightly [1] 57/20
small [1] 16/24
smarter [1] 64/23
sneaking [1] 35/17
so [99]
software [6] 55/19
56/1756/1957/558/21
62/14
Solely [1] 46/2
solicitation [1] 37/12
some [18] 5/1512/13
13/615/415/918/13
23/2227/2328/2434/12
35/343/946/46/866/7
72/1873/1573/16
somebody [4] 5/47/12
61/1164/24
somehow [1] 73/19
something [29] 4/19
7/710/914/2423/124/9
31/932/732/1535/635/8
server [1] 12/21
services [4] 19/23
20/2123/736/25
set [5] 8/636/2546/3
49/669/22
setting [1] 70/7
seven [2] 17/318/17
shadow [2] 33/933/15
soon [3] 49/1949/23
49/24
sooner [1] 29/21
sorry [22] 9/2411/6
12/1513/214/2317/10
17/2521/2122/123/8
23/2126/328/1428/17
30/830/1240/640/640/8
41/2341/2443/4
sort [3] 34/1241/741/10
sorts [2] 9/1622/11
source [47] 43/1243/13
43/2044/144/644/23
45/345/1745/1945/21
45/2345/2446/346/8
46/2146/2348/1852/24
52/2553/153/253/6
54/1655/255/855/9
55/1355/1855/2456/14
56/1656/2156/2557/1
57/457/757/1657/18
58/1158/1258/2159/20
59/2460/662/774/20
74/21
sources [1] 10/6
spaghetti [1] 15/12
speaking [1] 52/23
specific [2] 19/1356/10
specifically [2] 19/14
56/15
specifications [1]
48/14
specifics [2] 13/20
43/18
spent [3] 4/76/350/6
spoken [1] 21/13
stage [2] 35/1655/10

stand [1] 9/25	table [3] 39/439/871/5	74/15	things [18] 4/75/15/13	56/856/1156/1656/24
stands [1] 6/13	tactic [3] 63/963/10	them [35] 4/106/146/1	7/88/34/9/544/150/23	57/1057/1357/1457/15
start [2] 17/428/7	63/19	12/1215/2516/2018/18	51/1151/1757/1757/25	57/1857/2158/359/2
started [1] 17/5	take [9] 8/318/1643/22	21/221/421/931/13	62/863/1564/865/572/6	59/359/1860/962/18
starting [2] 3/852/21	47/2548/150/1265/18	31/1433/1035/1939/3	75/5	64/19
starts [1] 41/7	70/2472/12	43/844/1044/1046/16	think [145]	tradeoffs [1] 31/1
stated [1] 54/10	taken [1] 52/18	47/1652/1153/1359/9	thinking [3] 4/84/11	trades [1] 31/1
statement [3] 45/6	taking [2] 47/1664/2	60/460/461/2161/23	27/13	transcript [5] 1/111/17
54/2574/18	talk [8] 4/1018/1820/22	61/2365/970/1170/13	thinks [1] 51/20	52/2155/2376/12
STATES [2] 1/176/5	28/228/1643/766/474/2	72/673/974/275/1	this [173]	traveling [2] 19/365/6
Stenograph [1] 76/7	talked [2] 5/1860/10	themselves [1] 4/18	those [48] 4/126/87/1	treat [1] 61/10
stenography [1] 1/16	talking [14] 21/121/16	then [50] 5/158/249/13	7/37/169/1611/1711/18	tried [1] 61/1
step [1] 74/24	21/1821/2324/1227/11	12/2213/913/1220/13	13/113/614/514/614/10	true [7] 10/1219/11
steps [1] 48/21	28/432/149/1450/11	21/421/521/2124/8	24/2155/1168/2568/25	24/2155/1168/2568/25
still [6] 9/1215/319/17	50/1958/2562/968/1	24/2525/126/1528/3	76/12	76/12
42/1649/2271/15	target [2] 25/1153/13	28/1131/1233/2334/5	try [3] 43/852/1872/5	try [3] 43/852/1872/5
stipulate [1] 65/19	Ted [1] 1/20	35/137/839/140/1941/1	trying [11] 4/109/6	trying [11] 4/109/6
stipulation [1] 66/15	teleconference [1]	41/1344/2046/746/12	33/1233/1433/2444/1	33/1741/2542/1842/19
STONE [3] 2/53/17	5/19	46/1247/148/1553/2	43/646/748/748/11	43/646/748/748/11
38/19	tell [8] 3/2213/418/18	53/1054/1955/2559/3	48/21	48/21
storage [1] 12/21	20/1735/1535/2349/9	59/1561/2563/1065/14	turn [1] 15/1	turn [1] 15/1
store [1] 27/3	51/20	65/2166/868/2269/16	Turner [1] 1/20	Turner [1] 1/20
stored [1] 12/20	telling [6] 9/110/19	70/571/471/772/1273/4	turns [1] 45/15	turns [1] 45/15
straight [1] 68/11	12/2526/1727/1551/19	74/24	two [16] 13/2013/24	two [16] 13/2013/24
straightforward [2]	ten [8] 62/1866/2471/1	theory [3] 60/1165/20	15/2156/119/520/17	15/2156/119/520/17
29/1561/3	71/2572/572/972/24	70/4	23/1144/444/750/550/8	23/1144/444/750/550/8
streamline [1] 47/11	73/2	there [54] 6/178/49/15	56/1267/967/1667/16	56/1267/967/1667/16
street [1] 48/4	ten-day [1] 72/5	10/311/1412/1213/7	74/7	74/7
strike [7] 36/743/354/2	tens [2] 10/327/21	14/1915/315/1419/5	typewritten [1] 76/11	typewritten [1] 76/11
67/1767/1971/874/1	term [11] 26/1026/19	20/2122/2226/926/10	U	U
striking [1] 24/3	26/2127/1627/1731/2	26/2027/1227/2029/3	U.S [1] 1/19	U.S [1] 1/19
subject [2] 10/2312/24	36/1838/238/1238/20	29/1329/2530/336/4	ubiquitous [2] 38/11	ubiquitous [2] 38/11
submitted [2] 31/17	38/22	37/1640/1244/2147/2	38/12	38/12
31/19	terms [32] 6/2224/22	47/1347/1549/1255/7	ubiquitously [1] 26/24	ubiquitously [1] 26/24
substance [1] 4/4	24/2225/125/1025/11	55/2255/2358/361/12	ultimate [1] 41/11	ultimate [1] 41/11
substantive [1] 7/5	26/426/826/1126/23	61/1362/1363/1965/5	ultimately [3] 41/11	ultimately [3] 41/11
succeed [1] 4/19	28/128/228/1330/5	66/1468/1469/869/12	44/1973/4	44/1973/4
sufficient [1] 74/8	30/1730/2431/1032/1	69/1369/2369/2371/3	Um [7] 10/2211/112/1	Um [7] 10/2211/112/1
suggesting [11] 31/4	32/432/1032/1232/16	71/1571/2172/472/18	12/621/1741/2566/5	12/621/1741/2566/5
31/531/834/1534/16	33/1033/1133/1533/18	72/1872/2073/18	Um-hmm [7] 10/2211/1	Um-hmm [7] 10/2211/1
34/1755/1758/458/6	34/2535/735/937/16	there's [8] 15/341/12	12/112/621/1741/25	12/112/621/1741/25
61/1170/12	37/1937/22	42/1642/1743/1043/22	66/5	66/5
suggestion [1] 73/5	testifies [1] 62/25	52/1271/10	unable [1] 7/4	unable [1] 7/4
summary [2] 58/14	testify [3] 38/1959/16	therefore [3] 10/1114/8	unclear [1] 35/20	unclear [1] 35/20
59/23	64/12	14/25	uncover [2] 9/1148/8	uncover [2] 9/1148/8
supplemental [5]	than [17] 4/128/199/21	these [39] 4/75/39/17	undefined [1] 36/25	undefined [1] 36/25
50/1354/1854/1954/24	18/1018/2219/1623/25	11/1218/518/1618/19	under [4] 19/330/15	under [4] 19/330/15
55/3	33/2142/1745/248/5	19/619/1622/1123/23	47/2251/14	47/2251/14
support [1] 47/9	56/258/765/1269/21	24/1224/1525/1927/11	underlying [1] 56/25	underlying [1] 56/25
suppose [1] 60/1	69/2471/5	27/2028/730/1631/10	understand [20] 5/7	understand [20] 5/7
supposed [5] 18/8	Thank [7] 48/2359/8	32/532/1733/834/25	5/107/209/1511/2419/8	5/107/209/1511/2419/8
18/1523/2534/750/25	67/1268/1071/375/14	35/335/1535/2036/18	20/2423/329/1241/19	20/2423/329/1241/19
sure [9] 7/1113/21	75/15	39/1443/643/947/10	42/944/2546/1248/11	42/944/2546/1248/11
14/1816/517/2424/21	that [505]	47/2248/956/1061/20	52/1355/1957/2264/5	52/1355/1957/2264/5
27/434/1368/11	that's [77]	69/1470/973/1473/15	67/1968/10	67/1968/10
suspect [3] 27/1027/10	theft [2] 28/656/21	they [109]	understanding [4]	understanding [4]
27/12	their [45] 4/236/18/10	they're [17] 6/2311/20	42/349/1463/1873/19	42/349/1463/1873/19
sustain [1] 14/16	8/239/1210/2113/12	21/224/1428/333/17	understood [14] 5/9	understood [14] 5/9
sustaining [1] 15/25	14/1715/2522/1022/10	33/2033/2034/1237/18	5/1136/1042/1045/18	5/1136/1042/1045/18
SW [1] 1/20	23/723/723/2524/19	53/2260/1861/2261/23	46/2263/767/768/772/4	46/2263/767/768/772/4
sweeping [1] 47/8	29/1834/1137/337/4	62/762/864/23	72/1573/173/475/12	72/1573/173/475/12
system [15] 26/25	40/1143/1644/644/15	they've [5] 17/1317/22	Unequivocally [1]	Unequivocally [1]
43/2043/2544/344/20	45/1946/746/1246/21	35/842/444/5	36/15	36/15
46/146/247/1048/12	46/2247/247/347/4	thing [18] 22/923/13	unfair [3] 29/1653/12	unfair [3] 29/1653/12
53/153/255/257/17	48/1248/1348/1749/17	23/1323/1824/1735/10	75/1	75/1
57/1962/9	50/350/350/652/2553/1	36/1139/842/642/742/8	unique [2] 44/1644/16	unique [2] 44/1644/16
systems [2] 27/848/13	54/161/2462/1873/20	44/2144/2551/2260/13	UNITED [2] 1/176/5	UNITED [2] 1/176/5

U	73/574/475/975/9 wanted [5] 10/2046/24 61/12 unnecessarily [1] 33/1 unreasonable [2] 28/4 28/12 unredacted [1] 42/25 until [7] 30/632/10 36/2254/1262/362/24 67/10 untimely [1] 68/8 unusual [1] 63/17 up [15] 5/138/1824/11 34/434/939/239/343/9 45/1554/256/761/6 64/1564/2571/24 upfront [1] 64/11 upon [3] 4/1513/25 22/18 us [21] 10/917/718/18 34/1035/2344/846/5 46/646/846/1146/24 46/2549/949/1949/24 62/362/862/1464/3 64/1572/8 use [1] 7/15 used [3] 26/2438/12 44/23 user [3] 43/2443/24 56/14 using [2] 51/1151/15 uttered [1] 75/1 utterly [4] 21/221/3 21/621/6	what's [5] 45/949/15 54/1762/1369/3 whatever [1] 74/5 whatsoever [1] 38/14 when [30] 4/810/7 10/2318/818/1022/22 27/2029/2132/332/15 34/734/734/941/17 wasted [1] 9/1 way [14] 6/2017/21 29/638/2539/743/7 46/2051/252/753/653/7 57/1657/1975/6 we [241] we'd [3] 21/1361/12 70/16 we'll [25] 11/1611/17 18/1624/1329/1331/2 35/2135/2136/1636/24 38/149/2061/2165/16 65/1765/2165/2165/24 65/2466/1570/1371/9 71/1173/973/23 we're [31] 8/2513/10 14/2017/1618/1522/12 31/332/133/2134/25 40/1940/2040/2242/18 42/1947/447/547/748/7 48/1148/1550/2054/2 57/1258/2460/1461/25 64/864/969/1770/21 we've [8] 4/255/17 10/1218/1718/2534/7 42/853/25 week [2] 4/84/8 weeks [2] 49/2174/7 well [45] 3/178/15 10/1013/914/714/18 15/515/915/2416/21 17/1617/2018/918/13 21/1324/225/1026/15 26/1627/2428/1531/2 33/1933/2034/1334/15 34/2136/239/641/341/6 50/1153/1953/2456/1 57/261/262/863/568/19 69/1269/1271/1974/2 75/5 went [5] 16/1243/25 44/2146/1756/3 were [69] 6/66/117/4 7/58/239/810/411/5 11/1312/912/1313/7 18/1318/1419/619/9 22/722/2224/1224/16 26/526/826/926/10 26/1426/1427/1127/12 28/728/1928/2429/1 29/230/130/130/330/23 32/232/332/532/632/11 34/1635/1735/2035/20 36/137/1638/744/18 44/1947/347/2449/14 50/2350/2451/1359/2 62/1662/1764/1864/20 65/2269/1271/1671/17 71/1875/1776/11 what [107]	without [5] 9/1132/24 33/1533/1538/13 witness [2] 31/2434/22 witnesses [1] 69/13 woman [1] 47/15 won't [3] 36/2336/24 60/16 woops [1] 41/23 word [10] 10/1010/17 11/2114/2515/515/5 19/327/2238/1138/11 words [2] 4/1773/17 work [5] 32/1356/13 60/1772/1973/9 worked [2] 52/752/8 works [1] 72/7 world [1] 26/25 would [32] 4/168/11 9/2412/429/1029/10 29/1631/632/432/12 33/1335/935/1235/12 where's [1] 40/11 Whereupon [1] 75/17 whether [23] 13/25 22/1723/123/1527/24 45/1646/1347/248/14 51/2363/1163/1265/2 65/1466/666/766/17 68/171/1073/1873/19 74/2474/25 which [36] 10/2013/17 14/914/2417/2118/3 20/120/423/823/825/12 26/126/2126/2427/19 28/2439/2442/2142/24 43/1143/1645/1648/16 49/351/852/452/953/20 53/2154/2455/2155/25 56/1757/1657/1962/16 while [1] 47/24 who [25] 5/411/23 16/1616/1817/618/5 18/1318/1518/1819/2 19/1220/1823/2424/16 31/1635/2340/140/1 44/1844/1945/2246/22 47/1052/660/2 why [18] 8/118/24 13/1014/817/318/21 20/2344/559/1759/19 59/2060/560/862/3 62/2365/267/167/19 wide [1] 65/7 will [28] 8/1611/914/14 14/2114/2219/1320/17 35/135/535/636/16 38/2446/2148/2149/10 51/2551/2558/160/14 60/1463/863/1065/10 65/1968/1970/970/16 71/5 windows [2] 41/2443/9 wire [1] 30/16 withdraw [1] 70/12 withhold [1] 23/1 withholding [2] 13/7 25/3 within [2] 23/1464/22	14/1224/1345/852/24 53/875/7 you-all [11] 4/146/48/3 26/427/2428/1028/16 29/132/1658/1175/5 your [137] Your Honor [84]
V			Y	
version [3] 42/2543/11 48/17			y'all [6] 3/184/84/12 4/2138/454/7	
versions [3] 43/2144/2 50/4			yeah [9] 11/621/1922/3 41/750/1653/2463/4 68/468/19	
very [23] 6/913/23 16/2425/1227/1228/7 29/1129/1529/1630/18 32/1034/644/2545/22 48/549/551/551/13 51/2557/962/2463/5 64/2			year [10] 22/1024/16 25/1625/1725/1825/23 26/127/1128/155/21	
visible [1] 57/1 volumes [1] 38/22			year-long [1] 24/16 years [3] 18/922/7 22/23	
W			yes [35] 3/203/217/20 14/415/1916/1317/15 17/1818/720/1922/8 23/425/1426/627/9	
waiting [1] 71/18 waive [1] 60/16 waived [1] 6/1 walk [1] 48/4 want [56] 4/225/35/3 5/45/89/2014/1817/6 18/118/118/1120/18 22/1931/731/1531/23 31/2432/1932/1932/20 32/2133/134/1334/21 35/835/2337/2539/24 43/845/145/845/14 45/2145/2546/2460/16 61/1161/1463/1664/1 64/1164/1865/1765/18 66/166/266/966/21 69/2270/570/2071/13			30/1130/1437/1039/23 40/1140/1742/1045/10 49/254/2255/555/16 56/760/2167/2468/6 68/968/972/1775/3 you [211] you'll [2] 11/212/22 you're [25] 10/1917/20 20/1921/126/1726/17 27/729/631/834/15 34/1740/441/444/11 48/2153/353/453/554/3 58/660/1960/2061/19 63/2168/18 you've [8] 13/2314/9	